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JOHN DENNIS AND BLAKE'S GUINEA SUN

By Edward Strickland

In the long fourth chapter of his 1704 essay "The Grounds of Criticism," John Dennis draws a distinction between "Vulgar Passion" and "Enthusiastick Passion, or Enthusiasm." In delineating the latter he uses as an example our various perceptions of the sun: "... [T]he Sun mention'd in ordinary Conversation, gives the Idea of a round flat shining Body, of about two foot diameter. But the Sun occurring to us in Meditation, gives the Idea of a vast and glorious Body, and the top of all the visible Creation, and the brightest material Image of the Divinity." In his famous conclusion to "A Vision of The Last Judgment," Blake echoes Dennis' exemplary contrast both in his imagery and diction. Blake, however, is contrasting the world of Imagination, rather than Enthusiasm, and Generation: "What it will be Question'd When the Sun rises do you not see a round Disk of fire somewhat like a Guinea O no no I see an Innumerable company of the Heavenly host crying Holy Holy Holy is the Lord God Almighty ...." In the original, ironically, Dennis, while acknowledging the aesthetic superiority of "Enthusiastick Passion," proceeds in most un-Blakean fashion to recommend to poets the mastery of eliciting "Vulgar Passion," since more readers are capable of experiencing it.


BLAKE'S ARGUMENT WITH NEWBERRY IN "LAUGHING SONG"

By Thomas Dilworth

Although David Erdman claims that "Laughing Song" in Songs of Innocence owes much to Anna Barbauld's Hymns & Prose for Children (1781), and David Bindman has discovered a visual influence on Blake's illumination for "Laughing Song," no specific literary source or influence has been proposed for Blake's lyric.1 Certain affinities in form and content suggest, however, that in literature for children Blake's song does have a prototype—a short lyric entitled "How to Laugh," which appears in Newberry's A Pretty Book for Children (1761) and A Collection of Pretty Poems (1770).

John Newbery (1713-1767) wrote, printed, and published some of the best and most beautifully bound books produced for children during the eighteenth century. His collections of rhymes are relatively free of the repressive moral and religious indoctrination characteristic of the vast majority of books for children then in print. For this reason, he has been seen as a possible influence on Blake.2 At the very least, Newbery's work can be said to stand largely outside the implied criticism, in Songs of Innocence, of traditional and contemporary literature for children. His rhyme "How to Laugh" seems a special case, however, in that it is Newbery's only lyric to which Blake specifically alludes, and with which he apparently takes issue.

The verbal and conceptual similarities between Blake's "Laughing Song" and Newbery's "How to Laugh" are striking. Newbery's four-line rhyme concerns human laughter as an expression of Nature in relation to other of Nature's modes of expression:

Nature a thousand Ways complains,  
A thousand Words express her Pains:  
But for her Laughter has but three.  
And very small ones, Ha, Ha, He.

Blake's subject is the same, and he uses the same laughing sounds to conclude the last two of his three stanzas.

But Blake contradicts the assertion in Newbery's rhyme that Nature has only "three words"--"and very small ones"--to express happiness, whereas her numerous other sounds complain and express pain. In Blake's lyric, expressions of pain are altogether absent. And instead of personifying nature as a whole, Blake humanizes her various aspects. Parts of the landscape, together with birds, grasshoppers, and even the air, laugh independently though in harmony with man's own preverbal "Ha, Ha, He":

When the green woods laugh, with the voice of Joy  
And the dimpling stream runs laughing by,  
When the air does laugh with our merry wit,  
And the green hill laughs with the noise of it.

When the meadows laugh with lively green  
And the grasshopper laughs in the merry scene,  
When Mary and Susan and Emily,  
With their sweet round mouths sing Ha, Ha, He.

When the painted birds laugh in the shade  
Where our table with cherries and nuts is spread  
Come live & be merry and join with me,  
To sing the sweet chorus of Ha, Ha, He.

Joyful innocence is here shared equally by man and by nature in its broad diversity and particularity. This is not mere personification or pathetic fallacy, but a clarity of vision in which, as Blake later put it, "All Human Forms" are "identified even Tree Metal Earth & Stone" (Jerusalem Ch. 4, pl. 99:57).

The whole of Songs of Innocence implies the metaphysical equality and communion of man, nature, and the divine. But there is more human expression on the part of nature in "Laughing Song" than in all the rest of Songs of Innocence. That makes "Laughing Song" a focal point in the Songs for the
visionary identification of "Human Forms" in nature. The poem is exceptional in this regard probably because it was conceived and written as an anti-
thesis or corrective to Newbery's "How to Laugh," which excludes nature from full and equal participa-
tion in human life.


In Blake as an Artist (Oxford, 1977), pp. 59-60, Bindman dis-
cusses the probable influence on Blake of Stothard's Illustration

for "Drinking Song" in Joseph Ritson's English Songs (1783).

Blake executed engravings after Stothard for this book.

2 Foster Damon, William Blake, his Philosophy and Symbols (New
York, 1924), p. 42.

3 The Poetry and Prose of William Blake, ed. David Erdman (New
York, 1970), p. 11. The subsequent quotation from Blake is
also from this edition.

4 In "Night," the moon smiles, and a lion speaks. In "A Dream,"
an emmet and glow worm speak. In "Spring," birds delight--
which may or may not be a humanization.
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**BLAKE'S TRIAL DOCUMENTS**

By G. E. Bentley, Jr.

The court documents concerning William Blake's trials for sedition and assault at
Petworth in October 1803 and at Chichester in January 1804 are set out in Blake
is next to mine and whose field is the administration of justice in England in the eighteenth
century, whether the court documents reported in Blake Records were likely to be all that
survived and whether they were there interpreted plausibly. After reflecting for an embar-
rassingly brief time, he replied in as friendly and helpful a way as possible, No, and No.
More important, he explained the way trials of the time were recorded and preserved and lent
me his copy of a directory of the Sussex Record offices. The most obvious point he made is
that the court documents quoted in Blake Records are in the West Sussex Record Office in
Chichester, while no reference is made to the East Sussex Record Office in Lewes, which
preserves materials relating not only to East Sussex but to the County as a whole. I am
sorry to say that it had never occurred to me that there might be another relevant Sussex
Record Office. The tardiness of this note I can only attribute to John Beattie's not telling
me so earlier.

I therefore wrote to Lewes and was sent very promptly by A. A. Dibben, County Records
Officer of the East Sussex County Council, reproductions of four documents relating to
Blake's trial. Two of these are minor; the third and fourth are of major importance but are
already quoted in their entirety in Blake Records from the transcripts of Herbert Jenkins,
who had not indicated the locations of the originals. Using the information so generously
provided by John Beattie and A. A. Dibben, the alterations to Blake Records should be as
follows:

**PAGE 127,** for the last paragraph read:

On the morning of Tuesday the 16th, Blake, the soldier named Scolfield, his
accomplice John Cock, and their lieutenant, who was responsible for preferring the
charge, entered into recognizances for their appearance at the Quarter Sessions: . . .

**PAGE 128,** for the end of the top paragraph and the beginning of the next read:

Blake misremembered Hayley's recognizance as £100 rather than £50. N.B. No money
changed hands.

Schofield and Cock had to enter recognizances for £50 each:

No "bonds were taken" from them; they merely acknowledged that, if they didn't appear at the
Quarter Sessions, they would "be indebted to our Sovereign Lord the King" in the sums speci-
fied. No borrowing was necessary, no cash was needed.

**PAGE 131,** fn 2: Omit

The primary source is in the Sussex County Record Office . . . The secondary document,
which amplifies the primary one and which may be the transcript Blake's lawyer applied
for on December 25th 1803 (q.v.), was transcribed, from an original I have not
traced, by Herbert Jenkins in a typescript [now in my possession] and printed in his
'The Trial of William Blake for High Treason [i.e., Sedition and Assault], Nineteenth
has a few words . . . Sussex County Record Office . . . Sussex County Record Office . . .