This issue of the BLAKE NEWSLETTER is dedicated to Professor S. Foster Damon, whom we delight to honor in anticipation of his seventy-fifth birthday.

A more substantial and permanent tribute to Professor Damon is being prepared by Professor Alvin Rosenfeld of Brown University. It is an anthology of original essays on Blake to be published as a Festschrift entitled William Blake: Essays For S. Foster Damon. It will include some two dozen essays on Blake's poetry and painting, written for the occasion by many of the world's leading Blake scholars; an introductory essay on S. Foster Damon by Malcolm Cowley; and, as an appendix, a full bibliography of Professor Damon's writings. It will also include numerous plates of Blake's designs. A further announcement about the Festschrift, including details about its contents and date of publication, will appear in the next NEWSLETTER.

A celebration of Professor Damon's birthday will take place at Brown on February 22-23. The festivities will include a reading of his poetry, a performance of scenes from his prize-winning play Witch of Dogtown, and possibly a small concert of his music. An informal seminar will be conducted by Professors Harold Bloom and Geoffrey Hartman, and an exhibit of Blake items and Damoniana will be shown at Brown's Rockefeller Library (Feb. 15 - March 15). All these programs have been arranged by Alvin Rosenfeld.

It's difficult to find words adequate to someone who is at the same time a great pioneer and an energetic contemporary. May Foster Damon's wisdom, enthusiasm, wit and versatility long continue to astonish us!
How I Discovered Blake
S. Foster Damon

I remember vividly when I first read the name of William Blake. It was in the summer of 1907 (give or take a year either way), and I was going to our summer home in Annisquam, Massachusetts. At the North Station in Boston I bought a pulp-paper magazine, The Golden Argosy, to read on the hour ride to Gloucester. The contents of the magazine consisted entirely of selections from well-known authors: Victor Hugo, Swinburne, and the like. And here was a poem, "The Tyger" by William Blake, with Lamb's comment that it was "glorious".

The very first line gripped my imagination immediately. "Tyger! Tyger! burning bright" is typical of Blake's peculiar gift of enthralling the imagination before arousing the curiosity. "In the forests of the night" was tremendous: suppose he had written only "In a forest at night".

I was so impressed that I did something very unusual: I memorized the whole poem before we reached Gloucester. Only occasionally did I stop to think that I hadn't understood what the poem was about—a thought I dismissed instantly as irrelevant.

Some time about now I set "The Tyger" to a kind of humpty-dumpty tune, now happily well lost.

The excellent Newton Public Library, where my real education started (I wonder now if they really had a rule that a person could not take out books more than three times a day) for once failed me: they loaned me only the unreadable volume I of the Ellis-Yeats edition; but even that had a lovely picture on the cover. Some time later, the Boston Art Museum exhibited its Blake watercolors, which were a new revelation to me.

Then, in a Boston book-sale of remainders, I was able to pick up Blake's letters and Michael Rossetti's edition of the poems, which included the exciting text of The Marriage of Heaven and Hell.

In the fall of 1910 I entered Harvard. Their splendid library then owned I think just one of Blake's books, a Songs of Innocence in the Widener collection. Blake was scarcely mentioned in two courses on English romanticism. I recollect one class paper called "The Innocent Mr. Blake"—smoothly written but hopelessly ignorant.

But what was Blake writing about? The riddle seemed insoluble.

In 1914, Sampson's Oxford edition of the poems (incomplete) was published. I had my copy interleaved and spent the summer copying in what everybody had written about the various poems. I supposed that putting all the critics together would provide some answers, but they didn't. The summer's work seemed wasted.

I then decided to read everything that Blake had read, a task I supposed would be simple, as Blake never had gone to school, and was therefore uneducated. But now the gates began to come ajar. Swedenborg, Paracelsus, Behmen—these led to others, including the alchemists—and then others.

But the first big break came from William James's Varieties of Religious Experience. Why, Blake was definitely a mystic, which nobody else seemed to have noted. Evelyn Underhill's Mysticism gave a wealth more of material. And my first chapter began to lay itself out. Then Thomas Taylor's writings opened the way to The Book of Thel and (with Mary Wollstonecraft's Vindication of the Rights of Women) to Visions of the Daughters of Albion.

Properly, I should have been working for a Ph.D., and I took Anglo-Saxon and Old French; but the other requirements were exclusively philologi-
furthermore, criticism was completely taboo, as being personal conjecture, and thus not scholarly. So I devoted myself completely to my own work.

Once the book got started, it almost wrote itself, waking me up at odd hours. I got so obsessed that once I signed Blake's name to a cheque, which was returned to me. When I came to a tough spot, I simply walked around my chair, then wrote the answer. As soon as a chapter was finished, I read it to Miss Amy Lowell, whom I had met through a paper on the history of Free Verse. She had loved Blake ever since she was a little girl and gloated over the copies of his books owned by the Hooper family. When she returned from Egypt in 1898, she was tired of their formal art and ordered three of Blake's books for herself. Later she was able to get a Marriage of Heaven and Hell, which inspired her poem "The Book for Stones and Lilies" (Scribner's Magazine, Nov. 1921; collected in Ballads of Sale). Poet and lover of books, she was the only person I knew who could appreciate Blake.

Naturally I dedicated my book to her. Fortunately for me, Houghton Mifflin's reader for my manuscript was Esther Forbes; the book was accepted in 1922, and was published two years later. The reviews were hearty, long, and even enthusiastic. At last, Blake was academically respectable.

Only at Harvard was my book not greeted. There I was the lowest of the low, a mere theme corrector. Of course my book could not possibly be counted for a Ph.D. I had not entered myself as a candidate; I had not taken the right courses; nobody had approved the manuscript; it had not been submitted for that or any other degree. Nevertheless I hoped that I might get a small raise in pay or even in rank. But no one of my superiors in the English Department so much as said to me: "I see you've got a book out." And nothing happened.

I can't resist adding a postscript to Foster's last sentence, from R. P. Blackmur's essay, "A Critic's Job of Work," in which the book is taken as an example for scholarship. "The result for emphasis is that Mr. Damon made Blake exactly what he seemed least to be, perhaps the most intellectually consistent of the greater poets in English. Since the chief weapons used are the extended facts of scholarship, the picture Mr. Damon produced cannot be destroyed even though later and other scholarship modifies, re-arranges, or adds to it with different or other facts."
young instructor of Freshman Composition at Harvard—\(a\) the same man we
are honoring in this special number of the Blake Newsletter, S. Foster Damon.

As far as I know, George Weller's depiction of the young Harvard Eng-
lish instructor in the chapter entitled "A Lot He Knew" is the first
attempt to give fictional treatment to S. Foster Damon as a Blake scholar.
(Actually he is identified more closely with John Donne, but Blake shines
clearly through.) It is not the last, for in Colin Wilson's latest book,
The Glass Cage (1966), a Blakist is again fictionalized, this time as the
scholar-detective Damon Reade, and the name immediately gives him away to
us: again we know the model as S. Foster Damon.

But the novelists have not been alone in their recognition of S. Foster
Damon, for the past several years have seen him acclaimed in published
tributes by some highly important men—the composer Virgil Thomson, E.E.
Cummings, and Malcolm Cowley among them. Virgil Thomson has written en-
thusiastically about his early relationship with Foster Damon, crediting
Damon with introducing him to the music of Eric Satie and the poetry of
Gertrude Stein, both of whom changed his life, as Thomson has recently
remarked in his autobiography. E.E. Cummings has left behind similar testi-
mony. Cummings acknowledged that he discovered El Greco and Blake only
through Damon, and he told his biographer, Charles Norman, that "practi-
cally everything I know about painting and poetry came to me through Damon."
Foster Damon was equally important to the young Malcolm Cowley who, in a
long and warm-hearted tribute to his old friend, has recently written
that it was Damon who got him to read Leforgue, the early Ezra Pound, the
poetry of Stephen Crane, Melville, Blake, and Amy Lowell. As a scholar
in his own right and an opener of doors to others, then, Foster Damon's
achievements have been considerable and have not gone unnoticed.

And yet with all his image has remained a modest one. Damon has
worked quietly over the years, and he has neither sought nor won fame as
one of our literary celebrities. Scholars have known his work on Blake
all along, of course, but not enough people have been aware of the many
other sides to the man—the fact that he is also a good poet and has pub-
lished four volumes of poetry; a prize-winning dramatist (his Witch of
Dogtown won a Russel Crouse award for drama in 1955); a composer; a musi-
cologist; a folklorist; an historian; and a valuable and distinguished bib-
liophile, librarian, and book-collector.

Damon's most illustrious work has been done on Blake, but his range has
been enormous and has taken in much more. Joyce and Melville, Marie de
France and Amy Lowell, Thomas Holley Chivers, Punch and Judy, the History of
Square Dancing, and Yankee Doodle—Damon has written definitively on all
of these. There have been articles on alchemy and the occult, on genealogy
and gastronomy, on Schönberg and Stravinsky, on Scandinavian and Japanese
literature, on popular music, Santa Claus, and the detective story. The
author of major books on William Blake is also the author of an introduction
to the Annisquam Village Cook Book, a Japanese Noh drama (his Kiri no
Meijiyama), and a children's Christmas book (The Day After Christmas).
And there is still more to come. This summer Damon finished his writing
of The Moulton Tragedy, a long epic poem that he has been at work on over
the past forty years and which, after Whittier, he calls his "Yankee Faust."
Present projects include finishing up a book on Shakespeare that he began
some years back and now has almost completed; a critical history of English
prosody, which has been his continuing occupation for years now; and the
gathering together of dozens of original recipes (Damon is a gourmet cook)
into a cookbook, "for poets and others."
Malcolm Cowley, a long-time friend of S. Foster Damon, perfectly described this situation when, in a recent letter to me, he referred to what he called Damon's "genius for concealing his genius from the public." That seems to get at both some of the most endearing features of Damon's charm and also the vexing situation of his relative obscurity. It is gratifying, therefore, that the present number of the Blake Newsletter, dedicated to S. Foster Damon, at last allows some of us who have known him well to celebrate this very admirable and distinguished man and perhaps win for him something of the larger audience that he deserves and should have had all along.

SERMON BY MR. BLAKE

The man I am trying to describe here is, to borrow a line from Coleridge, myriad-minded, and as such certainly one of America's most remarkable men of letters. A few of us know him this way; most know him essentially and only as the prominent Blake scholar that he is; and many who should know him do not know him at all.

Malcolm Cowley, a long-time friend of S. Foster Damon, perfectly described this situation when, in a recent letter to me, he referred to what he called Damon's "genius for concealing his genius from the public." That seems to get at both some of the most endearing features of Damon's charm and also the vexing situation of his relative obscurity. It is gratifying, therefore, that the present number of the Blake Newsletter, dedicated to S. Foster Damon, at last allows some of us who have known him well to celebrate this very admirable and distinguished man and perhaps win for him something of the larger audience that he deserves and should have had all along.

SERMON BY MR. BLAKE

for S. Foster Damon

No man can keep the rose from death; by breathing back the borrowed sun it makes infirmity of godhead rooted in the hoary earth; the power lies in what consumes, not what is eaten up. Who lives in fire praises energy; he feels no spider crawl beneath the fallen leaf, his eyes intense with coming next refuse the sunlight as a yellow unguent effacing flame. He dwells inside a city out of space, a source immune from ever stepping back (the burning bush before the gate returns the timid to a lifetime of tormenting flies); beside the fiery fountains what is wrought can never die; enslavement to the cycles of the sun becomes a dream upon awakening.

We give its colors to the rose; all beauty we adore is what we conjure up and sprinkle on the grasping soil. Who would lose by lack of crossing over all creation at its origin? The fire, gentlemen, the fire! There is nothing in the world but what is hammered out of flame.

Laurence Goldstein
1. ENGLISH INSTITUTE PROGRAM — September 3-6, 1968 at Columbia University

One of the topics this year is

BLAKE: "VISIONARY FORMS DRAMATIC" (Chairman, David V. Erdman).

Prize money of $200.00 will be awarded by the Institute for the best essays on this or any of the topics. In this topic the focal word is "forms"; essays may consider any aspect or aspects of the form or style of Blake's illuminated works (counting "Tiriel" as such a work). Slides may be included; yet the pictorial aspect need not be treated.

Essays, which will be judged by members of the Supervising Committee, must be sent before July 1, 1968 to Professor Roy Harvey Pearce, University of California at San Diego, the chairman of the 1968 session. They must be no longer than 6000 words, typed double-spaced, and accompanied by a stamped, self-addressed envelope, or, if submitted from abroad, by the appropriate international postage coupons. If slides are to be used, transparencies or small prints should accompany the typescript.

2. BLAKE AT PRINCETON

The keen eye of Virginia Erdman detected the following item in Princeton Town Topics for November 9, 1967:

BLAKE BOOK HERE

Gift to Princeton. A rare copy of William Blake's book, "Songs of Innocence and of Experience" has been given to Princeton University and is now on exhibition in Firestone Library.

Hours are 9 a.m. to 6 p.m., Monday through Saturday, and 2 p.m. to 5 p.m. Sundays.

The volume was probably printed in 1805 according to Charles A. Ryskamp, curator of English and American literature for the library. It was printed in red-brown ink, and contains 54 copper-engraved plates, elaborately and brilliantly colored with watercolors and gold.

"Blake's book is considered by many to be the most beautiful book in the history of English art and poetry and this is certainly one of the finest copies," says Professor Ryskamp. The volume was the gift of Miss Caroline Newton of Berwyn, Pennsylvania. It was included in the Grolier Club exhibition of Blake's works in 1905 in New York and at the Philadelphia Museum of Art exhibit in 1939.

The history of the Princeton book can be traced to 1824, when it was sold to the publisher, Edwards. It was subsequently bought by William Beckford the novelist, who assembled the first important Blake collection, and then by Quaritch, the British booksellers, who used it for a
facsimile edition in the 1890's. Shortly after, it was acquired by William A. White, one of the greatest Blake collectors.

Professor Ryskamp points out that Princeton's copy is complete, with 544 leaves; other existing volumes are incomplete. It is identified as copy "U" in the census of Blake's illuminated books compiled by Sir Geoffrey Keynes and Edwin Wolf II.

We went to see this copy and found that the Firestone Library was also exhibiting a number of other works, most of them on loan from Miss Newton. The following items were included:

Illuminated books: In addition to copy U, copy J of the Songs of Innocence and of Experience (posthumous); copy D of America; copy L of Visions of the Daughters of Albion (probably colored by Mrs. Blake).

Engraved sets: Illustrations of the Book of Job (large-paper page proofs); For the Sexes: the Gates of Paradise (title page, frontispiece, plates 1, 7-13, 18-19).

Engravings from books: 4 plates after Fuseli from A New and Improved Roman History by Charles Allen (1798); Wilson Lowry (proof copy of fourth state, engraved with Lowry for Rees' Cyclopaedia); "Tornado", after Fuseli, from the third edition (1795) of Erasmus Darwin's Botanic Garden, Part II.

Engraving: Canterbury Pilgrims, fourth state.

Books: Poetical Sketches, Blair's Grave illustrated by Blake, Botanic Garden Part I (five engravings by Blake after Fuseli), and Hayley's Ballads and Little Tom the Sailor, both with illustrations designed and engraved by Blake.

Drawings: Watercolor of Hayley's design for the Cowper monument (one of three copies made by Blake); wash drawing "Job and His Family Restored to Prosperity"; and four pencil drawings. One of these showing a male and a female figure, is identified as "for a rejected illustration for Comus." One of the others is a sketch of what seems to be a theatrical production, with a male figure wearing a plumed hat or helmet. Another shows several horses, one of which wears a plumed headdress of some kind and is harnessed to something (a chariot?) unshown. Another horse appears to be reading a book opened on the ground while a woman wearing a bandana milks her; a third is unmistakably horselaughing.

Manuscript: Fair copy in Blake's hand of "I asked a thief to steal me a peach."

Color prints: From A Small Book of Designs (copy B)--plate 9 of The First Book of Urizen, inscribed "Eternally I labour on"; and plate 11 of The Marriage of Heaven and Hell, inscribed "Death & Hell"/Team with Life". [In both inscriptions, the quotation marks are in the original.]

Also: Rivington and Cochrane's catalog for 1824, advertising the Songs (copy U) at 8 guineas and The Grave at £1 16s.

--MDP
3. PUBLICATIONS


4. MISCELLANEOUS

An expanded version of Kathleen Raine’s A. W. Mellon Lectures in the Fine Arts, Blake and Tradition, is scheduled for June publication in the Bollingen Series by the Princeton University Press. The two-volume work includes 194 illustrations, 11 in color. Also of interest to Blake scholars will be Thomas Taylor the Platonist: Selected Writings, edited by Miss Raine and George Mills Harper, and scheduled for summer publication in the Bollingen Series. And Miss Raine informs us that her collection of essays Defending Ancient Springs, just published by Oxford University Press, includes "Yeats’s Debt to William Blake."

An unaltered reprint of Swinburne’s William Blake: A Critical Study (1869) has been issued by Benjamin Blom, Inc. (4 West Mt. Eden Avenue, Bronx, N.Y. 10452. Price $12.50).

A second edition of A Blake Dictionary, with some additions, is in the press; also a second printing of Blake's Job.

More Blake courses: Foster Damon will give a graduate seminar on Blake at Brown in the spring '68 semester. Edward Rose teaches a full-year graduate seminar on Blake at the University of Alberta (Edmonton, Ontario) every other year. Morton Paley will give a graduate seminar on Blake’s longer poems in fall ‘68, at Berkeley.

Regarding the copyright announcement on p. 18. It was suggested to us that we copyright the NEWSLETTER in order to protect ourselves and our contributors from the possibility of its being reprinted without our permission at some future time. Reprint companies seem to have become active even in rather remote fields of scholarship, and it does seem to me that if the NEWSLETTER ever should be reprinted, it should realize some of the benefits. (It would be nice to have an occasional photographic reproduction, for example. I promise not to abscond to Golgonooza with the profits.) Writers of articles are of course free to use their material elsewhere.
Blake's obscurities are not to be resolved by guesses, however inspired; in almost every case there is a key that fits the lock, which opens easily to the right combination. One puzzle which no-one so far as I know has solved is "the crested Cock" who in Milton 28: 2l (K. 515) terrifies the Spectres into incarnation. The passage is:

They contend with the weak Spectres, they fabricate soothing forms. The Spectre refuses, he seeks cruelty: they create the crested Cock. Terrified the Spectre screams & rushes in fear into their Net Of kindness & compassion, & is born a weeping terror. Or they create the Lion & Tyger in compassionate thunderings: Howling the Spectres flee: they take refuge in Human lineaments.

In my forthcoming book I wrote as follows:

It is hard to know what was in Blake's mind in this image of the People of Dreams frightened into generation by the crowing of a cock, image of resurrection; perhaps the folk belief that the dead must return to their graves at cock-crow, whether Hamlet's kingly ghost or the three sons of the Wife of Usher's Well: 'Then up and crew the red, red cock/ And up and crew the grey.' Another, and perhaps more likely, source is the cock of Odin, described in a passage quoted by Macpherson in his Introduction to the History OF Great Britain and Ireland: 'A cock, with a crest of gold, crows every morning in the presence of the Gods. He awakes the heroes to battle before Odin the father of armies. They rush, armed and clothed, to the field and slay one another with mutual wounds. These deaths, however, are only temporary. The power of Odin revives the slain.' If this fine image lies behind Blake's 'crested cock' the myth of the specters is again brought into the context of reincarnation.

Since writing this I have come upon another interesting passage relating to the cock, which Blake might have seen, for it occurs in the first volume of Thomas Taylor's Plato (1801), p. 65. It is an extract from Proclus' Dissertation on Magic, quoted by Taylor in his notes to the First Alcibiades:

... There are many solar animals, such as lions and cocks, which participate, according to their nature, of a certain solar divinity; whence it is wonderful how much inferiors yield to superiors in the same order, though they do not yield in magnitude and power. Hence, they report that a cock is very much feared, and as it were reverenced, by a lion; the reason of which we cannot assign from matter or sense, but from the contemplation alone of a supernal order: for thus we shall find that the presence
of the solar virtue accords more with a cock than with a lion. This will be evident from considering that the cock, as it were, with certain hymns, applauds and calls to the rising sun, when he bends his course to us from the antipodes; and that solar angels sometimes appear in forms of this kind, who, though they are without shape, yet present themselves to us, who are connected with shape, in some sensible form. Sometimes too, there are daemons with a leonine front, who, when a cock is placed before them, unless they are of a solar order, suddenly disappear; and this, because those natures which have an inferior rank in the same order, always reverence their superiors.

2. The Meaning of Los

E. J. Rose
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Canada

The traditional reading of 'Los' as an anagram for 'sol' has been long established, though other sources have been suggested. Frye, for instance, cites 'los or loos' from Chaucer's House of Fame as a possible analogue. Though I do not discount the possibility that Blake may have had several sources in mind at once, as with the names of many of his major figures, like Orc and Vala, I think 'los' means literally and metaphorically something more than 'sol.' I do not intend or even suggest that 'sol' be abandoned because Los is too often associated with the sun in both the verse and the illustrations for 'sol' to be ignored. Los is, of course, the 'more bright Sun of Imagination' in the L'Allegro designs and not the fiery guinea-disk of economics and nature. What I think 'los' means is 'look' or 'behold' in the traditionally shortened form of the interjection, 'lo,' only in the third person singular of the verb -- perhaps, with all the same implications as Ferlinghetti's very Blakean "fourth person singular." Los is aware, he watches, looks, sees, and beholds. His is vision. He los all the time and all Time. Los is the word within the word, a visionary verbal wheel within a wheeling verbal universe, a moving vision or visionary (a seer) within the vision. Los is a perennial proclamation in the present tense, the ever-present tense, a seeing word in an iconographical drama. He is his own ejaculation, an infinite 'lo.' The 's in Los should be pronounced as a voiced sibilant in contrast with the voiceless sound uttered by the serpent. 'The Song' or 'The Book' of Los, like all of Blake's work, is a song or a book of los. We see through Los, not with him. Before this suggested interpretation of the meaning of Los is dismissed as fanciful, I only ask that several of Blake's works be re-read with the ear attuned to the metaphorical sound of Los pronounced as 'lo' with a voiced sibilant. Such phrases as the 'Gate of Los,' or 'head of Los' which appear repeatedly and other phrases, such as 'scribe of Los,' or building of Los, or power of Los, or 'they gave their power to Los, Naming him the Spirit of Prophecy,' take on a new and important dimension, which once entertained, is not easily ignored. No serious critic of Blake or of the Bible can afford to ignore the aural metaphors or what Buber calls 'A bold visual metaphor for an acoustical event' when he discusses the 'voice of thin silence' from I Kings 19:21, which he says 'is a silence, but not a thick and solid one, rather one that is of such veil-like thinness that the Word shines through it.'

It is the sound of the visual herald when he reveals truth and an interjection which appears repeatedly in Blake's work. "Lo!" is a word which proclaims that men see with their minds, travel mentally, and wage "Mental War."

2. The phrase appears repeatedly in Her. Los is, of course, the fourth Zoa - he says so in J 1:11, 23-24.


David V. Erdman
State University of New York at Stony Brook

P 14. Night line 44 Graze shd be Grase (The s is angular but not a z).

P 51 line 10 Hands deprest shd read Heads deprest (Depressing!)

P 61 line 5 Human shd be lower case human

P 62 line 26 enclos'd shd read inclos'd

P 146 line 27 revolve shd be revolve

P 181 line 29 tragic shd be spelled trajeic

P 202 line 20 Universal-Conclave can be emended to Universal Conclave (as the context pretty much demands)

P. 270 Several persons have collated the Hebrew of the Laocoön inscriptions with the engraved plate and kindly noted that the printers misspelled Lilith and King Jehovah, although Blake had them both right. In Lilith a Heh appears instead of the final Thav. In Malek Jehovah three incorrect letters appear: Daleth instead of Khaph sophith, Kheth instead of Heh, and Reish instead of Vav.

(P 277 TIRIEL: The substantive variants in the new edition by Bentley, "were" for "was" in l: 2 and "vales" for "dales" in l: 27, are simply misprints, as one can see from the facsimile).

P 287 line 101 loud (since it is an emendation) shd be /loud/

Pp 287-8. William F. Halloran (who is writing about this in BNYPL) makes a convincing case for emending the dialogue by moving lines 105-9 to come after line 120.

P l93 From Gratelos shd be spelled from Cratetos (clear enough in N; now first observed by W. H. Stevenson)

REYNOLDS ANNOTATIONS. Marcia Allentuck, going through my xerox and elaborate transcript, noted the following slips in the Doubleday simplified report:
Angle brackets shd enclose "Here...Circumstances" (P 639: p. 87), "Broken...Sublime" (P 651: p. 102), "The...Operations" (P 643: p. 131), "To...Science" (P 644: p. 135), "How...Species" (P 645: p. 149), "Demonstration...Intuition" (P 648: p 200), and "These...Colour" (P 651: p 279). On P 645: p 152, no brackets shd be around the second "Never!"
On design to 101, add this inadvertently omitted paragraph at the end of the inscription:

Whatever Book is for Vengeance for Sin & whatever Book is Against the Forgiveness of Sins is not of the Father but of Satan the Accuser & Father of Hell

*** The following should be added as a separate entry: On drawing LB 32 in the British Museum Print Room -- a design for a title page. (The title sketched in begins with "The" but consists, in two further lines, of strokes not perhaps meant to form actual words).

Angels to be very small
as small as the letters
that they may not interfere with the subject at bottom
which is to be in a stormy sky & rain
separated from the angels by Clouds

Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 insert this for 49: 35: Void / ground rdg on plate, scribal error influenced by line 33; correct reading supplied from Milton 5: 22, which Blake was rather absently copying

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 insert this for 49: 35: Void / ground rdg on plate, scribal error influenced by line 33; correct reading supplied from Milton 5: 22, which Blake was rather absently copying

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 729 note on 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 insert this for 49: 35: Void / ground rdg on plate, scribal error influenced by line 33; correct reading supplied from Milton 5: 22, which Blake was rather absently copying

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 insert this for 49: 35: Void / ground rdg on plate, scribal error influenced by line 33; correct reading supplied from Milton 5: 22, which Blake was rather absently copying

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.

P 732 note 32: 15 Kerabim. The lemma is right as transcript of what Blake actually wrote, Khaph the first letter. But to spell Kerabim it should be Kaph. The "correction" gets the second letter wrong however, following Keynes (Dalet instead of Resh) and does not correct the first. (PS: The new Keynes is corrected).

** But wait! What first letter Blake meant to write is not all that certain. The plate shows a strong dot just left of the top bar of the letter. Kaph would have the dot within its curve, but Khaph would have no dot. Take your choice. It really looks as though Blake wrote and meant Kerabim, not Cherubim, and all this "error" is among us editors and printers.
P 748 30: 16 ? halls of 1st rdg erased (I doubt; could be centfr f/form/) P 750 10: 11 I / We XXXXX (Delete the Xs — printer's mistake) P 752 56: 11-16 sixth erased line: I doubt most of this conjectured reading: "from" may be "with"; "woe" probably "war".

P 757 99: 4-9 Note citing Jerusalem 38 twice should cite 34 /38/.

P 758 Second line shd read 3 J.3l.

P 768 Three poems written in...a copy of Poetical Sketches: said copy is now in the Alexander Turnbull Library in Wellington, New Zealand.

P 770 /To go/ on 1 Plate. grouped shd be grouped.

P 772 The wild flowers song: 3 wild/ shd read 3 flower

P 773 Several Questions... Line 17, 1st and 2nd rdgs shd be p 107, not p 99.

P 776 Asterisks should be above the bracketed headings, not below them.

P 790 New mistake: "Her whole Life..." N 101 rev shd read N 100 rev.

P 892 From Cratelas shd read From Cratetos.

P 897 "I love Theotormon" shd read "I loved Theotormon"

"I saw a chapel..." shd cite t 768

**************************************************************************

4.

Two Problems in The Four Zoas W. H. Stevenson

University of Darads.

I wish to discuss two problems which puzzled me as I was preparing The Four Zoas for the Longmans edition of Blake's poetry. Both involve the arrangement and organisation of the Night; neither can be finally determined, since we cannot be sure that Blake himself had made up his mind. He has certainly not made any decisions clear on paper. Unfortunately, an editor must make decisions, and the discussion which follows is largely an account of the reasons which led me to answer these problems as I did. Throughout this work I was involved in a fruitful cooperation with D. V. Erdman, and so I had better stress that these arguments are entirely my own. All references are to the Erdman edition of 1965.

The two problems are, first: Where does the Second Night begin?—and the second, which has attracted more attention: What did Blake mean to do with Night VIII?

Almost all editions have passed over the first question with ease. The MS presents a series of Night-headings; Night the First on p. 3 is plain, and so is Night the Third (on p. 37) now, although Blake had had other thought as a series of erasures shows. About Night the Fourth there is no doubt at all; it follows, then, that the remaining chapter-heading must be the Second, cryptic though it is in its reading Night the... (twice written First, and once Third; never Second, according to Erdman, p. 747). But does it follow? Only if it is essential that a Night must start on one of these pages headed Vala in large script. Most editors have considered the marginal insertion
on p. 9 a rejected heading, and have therefore ignored it. Sloss and Wallis
accepted it in 1927, but without any adequate justification of their decision.
I decided to accept p. 9:34 as the beginning of the Second Night, as a
hypothesis, on the grounds that this is in fact the only place where Blake has
left the heading Night the Second intact, and that, as the text is so pervaded
with problems we do well to try to avoid conjectures involving Blake's
supposed or probable intentions where he did not make them explicit. We have
to make such conjectures too often in The Four Zoas without manufacturing them.

If there were no other facts, this hypothesis would present no problems;
it would simply mean removing the beginning of the Second Night up several
pages. It would now be much longer than the First Night, but this would only
be a fact to note, not to argue. There are, however, three complications:
major and minor. First, the well-known disarrangement of the pages, by which
the page that should be 19 is bound in as 21, and vice versa. (To clarify my
later remarks about this section, I propose in this article to suffix the
standard numbers of these pages with letters indicating the order now generally
accepted as correct, thus: 21a, 22b, 19c, 20d.) Second, neither of the
"Ends" marked, on pp. 18 and 19c, seems actually to be the end. The "End"
at the foot of p. 18 itself supersedes an earlier one. Blake having added
several lines after an original "End". After this follows the whole section
21a-20d; and the pencil text of p.20d looks like a still later addition after the
"End" on p. 19c (though we cannot know about its date).

The third complication is the most important. Blake seems very sure that
he has an end to the First Night (and, on p. 36, that he has an end to the
Second); yet he has apparently no beginning to the Second Night. If we give
full weight to the "Ends" on pp. 18 and 19c, we must ignore the total lack of
a new beginning on p. 23. Blake himself numbered his pages 1-17 (our 3-17,
17-18), concluding "End of The First Night". Then why was p.23 never once
inscribed as the beginning of "Night the Second"? I do not think we can answer
this question, and it would be dangerous to try.

Few acts of an editor are more dangerous than to make further conjectures
in support of earlier ones. This, however, I shall do. After all, my
acceptance of the marginal "heading" on p. 9 is only a literal acceptance of
what actually stands: although this seems on the surface somewhat improbable,
it is not more conjectural than the acceptance of p.23 as beginning "Night the Second". If I accept that 9:34 begins the Second Night, what do I do about the
marked ends of the First? In the first place, there can only be one "End";
the other must be out of date. All the evidence suggests that pp. 21a-19c
were written later than 18:1-8. Lines 18:9-15 as a whole cannot be dated with
any accuracy relative to pp. 21a-19c, but are clearly later than lines 18:1-8.
In any case, p. 18 was certainly begun before pp. 21a-19c, and 19c:15 may
reasonably be taken to supersede 18:15 as the "End". My suggestion, therefore,
is that pp. 21a-19c may be taken as the new end to the First Night, and should
be placed after 9:33, the Second Night beginning at 9:34. That is, I take the
First Night to be pp. 3:1 to 9:33, and the Second Night beginning at 9:34.
That is, I take the
First Night to be pp. 3:1 to 9:33 and pp. 21a-19c. The Second Night is 9:34
to 18:15 and pp.23-35. Page 20d looks like an afterthought, to be placed
following 19c. The "Ends" on p. 18 are superseded. Pp. 21a-19c form a long,
distinct passage; if Blake were looking for an ending to his new First Night,
he might well be expected to prepare it like this on separate sheets; in any
case the whole Night already needed to be copied out again. I am only unhappy
that he did not guide the new passage in on p.9 as he usually did (e.g. p.56).

If I had been a free man I would merely have proposed this as a probable
idea in Blake's mind. However, I had to present a single, unequivocal text
to my readers: and I decided to present them with this rearrangement, not
because I like rearrangement for its own sake, but because the new text seemed
to make very good sense as narrative, and as narrative construction. If one rearranges the pieces of a jigsaw, one's final justification must be that the picture is better at the end than it was before.

Blake had projected a narrative poem telling how the original state of human perfection had been corrupted. The narrative of Urizen was unsatisfactory; the new narrative would show why and how Urizen began his career as a tyrant, and why he failed. Man's surrender to the tyranny of reason and law had itself a cause - the weakening of the soul by the subtle corruptions of the eternal and unremitting Female Will. In the new poem, Vala embodies this eternal female power, and since she is the protagonist, the poem is named after her. She desires to control the whole of the universal Man; she is not satisfied with her place in the scheme of things. She sets out to seduce him as he walks in the garden (a story several times retold); she stirs up trouble between Luvah and Urizen, and even though, in his resulting sickness, the Man gives authority not to her but to Urizen, who suppresses her immediately, she continues to burn underground, like a heath fire. She bursts out in VII, and achieves domination in VIII - a domination only overcome when the Man himself, in a last burst of energy, reasserts his own authority in IX, and all are redeemed. Part of the legend is the disintegration of the whole human personality into sexual forms (through which female dominion is increased); Enion drives away her counterpart, Tharmas, whose form degenerates and becomes our world of space and time. As Enion sits regarding this world, a new male creature arises from its rocks - "her own Created Phantasm" (del. from p. 66 Erdman '65 p. 471) and from their sexual union - marked by passions not of love and delight, but of hostility and anguish - she becomes pregnant and gives birth to malicious twins Los and Enitharmon, the Adam and Eve who wander in this new fallen world.

But Blake's concepts, as always, evolved. He came to appreciate the symmetrical pattern of four Zoas, now set out in the opening lines of the poem (3:4ff), and this required some reorganisation of the beginning of the poem. There were to be four "Zoas". In the perfection of Eternity they balanced one another; evil began when the balance failed. Whereas it did not matter in the earlier version that Los and Enitharmon were born of Enion, their appearance as creatures of the fallen creation now creates a problem, since the they are forms of Urthona, who is "brother" to Tharmas-and-Enion. How can they be born of Enion? By writing the additional section, pp. 21a-19c, Blake has cleared up this anomaly. Messengers to the Council of God (a new element, but one that need not detain us) explain afterwards that Urizen and Luvah had fought for dominion, that Urthona was shattered - literally - by the sight, and a part of his spirit fled.

"A portion of his life shrieking upon the wind
she fled"

And Tharmas took her in pitying" (22b:21-22).

From this pitying act arose Enion's jealousy; shortly (lines 22-28) she has "embalmed" both male and female parts of Urthona, his spectre and emanation, in her body, ready to be born in the fallen world as Los and Enitharmon. Thus the pattern of four Zoas is satisfied, and the original story of pp.3-9 is reconciled to it.

But the balance has changed somewhat. In the original plot, the first turning-point was the accession of Urizen to power on p.23; the preceding action was introductory and preparatory. But now the introduction is more complex. The sequence has now three parts - Vala's first corruption of Man; the disintegration of balance among the Zoas; and the emergence of a new
creation in which Los and Enitharmon wander. The first of these three parts becomes merely a vague memory, first recalled by Enitharmon (10:9ff); the second becomes the material for the First Night, and this is found partly on pp. 3-9, partly on 21a-19c. The activities of Los and Enitharmon, leading to the introduction of Urizen to their fallen world (p.12) is the material of the Second, starting at 9:34. The turning-point is now the change of scene from Eternity to mortality. Where the action in the fallen world begins, the Second Night now begins. Blake has inserted a new line to open this Night - 

"But the two youthful wonders wandered in the world of Tharmas" (9:34).

The reader has been away from this scene for some time, during the narrative of pp. 21a-19c, and has to be reminded who the pair are; "they" of the deleted line is no longer clear.

As I said at the outset, this is not a matter on which final certainty can be reached, as the evidence of the MS is that Blake himself was uncertain, rather than that he had decided, and it is not for us to make up his mind for him. Yet an editor who must make a single choice has, I would argue, as good grounds for making this arrangement as for reading the MS straight through as it is now bound, and this choice makes at least as good sense.

5 Europe iii: 13

In examining copy H of Europe at the Houghton Library, I found that line 18 of the prefatory poem reads "The world, when every particle of dust breathes forth its joy." The third word is usually printed as "where," but there is only one other copy of Europe with this plate extant (K, in the Fitzwilliam Museum), and David Erdman informs me that he has examined his slide of the Fitzwilliam plate iii and found the reading to be "when." The line makes perfect sense as Blake etched it; in fact, "when" ties in more closely with the theme of the prefatory poem.

At the beginning of the poem, Blake hears the Fairy singing about the senses: "Five windows light the cavern'd Man" etc. The fifth sense, touch, could admit man to the joys of Eternity if his hypocritical morality did not restrain him:

Thro' one, himself pass out what time he please, but he will not; For stolen joys are sweet, & bread eaten in secret pleasant.

After the Fairy is caught by the poet, he promises to write a Blakean illuminated book ("on leaves of flowers") and to shew you all alive

The world, when every particle of dust breathes forth its joy.

Thus the line as Blake etched it continues the theme of erotic mysticism introduced earlier in the poem, "when" referring to the ecstatic moment at which the life of the universe is perceived.

(continued on page 18)
DISCUSSION

"With intellectual spears, & long winged arrows of thought."

Recognizing Mother

When one gets past the bad temper in John E. Grant's "Recognizing Fathers", one finds that he has three basic objections to our PMLA article, "Pictorial and Poetic Design in Two Songs of Innocence".

1. We used original copies of Blake's songs rather than questionable facsimiles,
2. we used the original Keynes bibliography rather than the Keynes-Wolf census, and
3. we failed "to penetrate" the "clean-shaven disguise" of a masquerading busty female Christ.

Since these charges form the basis for Grant's cavalier dismissal of us as unqualified Blakeists and "would-be scholares", we shall try to treat them seriously. We began the study by examining an excellent set of Kodachrome slides made by the British Museum from the Keynes "N" copy (Keynes, W Wolf "T" copy). These slides were used to make the pictures that were reproduced in PMLA. Later we were able to examine all three original copies of Songs of Innocence and Experience in the Department of Prints and Drawings of the British Museum (Macgeorge copy, Keynes "A"; Keynes, Wolf "A"; Carey copy, Keynes "X", Keynes, Wolf "B"; and Evans copy Keynes "N", Keynes, Wolf "T") and the posthumous (1831-1832) uncolored copy in the British Museum Reading Room (Keynes Posthumous copy a, Keynes, Wolf posthumous copy "a"). We leave it to others to judge whether we acted reasonably to verify findings made from a study of excellent color transparents by seizing the opportunity to check them against the originals instead of relying on admittedly poor facsimiles. (We refer to Grant's own admission of the dubious reliability of the facsimiles and the microfilms—see especially his note 2). Our reference to Keynes "Z" was based on one of those poor facsimiles in Keynes' A Study of the Illuminated Books of William Blake, Poet, Printer, Prophet.

The original Keynes bibliography is everywhere available. The Keynes, Wolf census was printed in an edition of four hundred copies and has long been unavailable to the general reader.

The real nub of Grant's paper, however, is the last charge; namely, that we did not penetrate Christ's disguise. In our original article we gave full consideration to those critics who interpreted the figure in LBf to be God or Christ. The figure is "clearly a female figure". We can not seem to get by that fact. We conceded that her face had a resemblance to the face of Christ. The illustration shows the child and a haloed mother after the restoration by God. Our suggestion of the interpenetration of the divine in the human seems to us the only plausible explanation, stemming from our discussion of the integral relationship of poems to illustrations. Yet Grant's objections are based solely on what he considers to be reliable iconographic evidence. By ignoring the total context of our argument, he distorts the point of our essay.

Grant's iconographic evidence at no point seriously challenges our interpretation. As evidence of Blake's conception of Christ as "busty", he offers Schiavonetti's engraving of Blake's first illustration of The Grave, and even admits that "Schiavonetti's engraving stands between Blake's conception and the reader." Grant further questions our identification of the figure at the right of the text in LBf as an angel by pointing to the apparent absence of wings on the same figure in the facsimile of Keynes "Z" and the microfilm of "copy AA".
In the four British Museum copies, the figure in question is clearly an angel with wings. In fact, in the posthumous, uncolored volume it is obvious that the wings were etched on the plate before the color was added. If Grant is willing to generalize from the facsimiles of copies Z and AA and conclude that the figure must be the mother (although it is in no sense clear how he can justify identifying that figure as earthly female as distinct from angelic being) about to take over, is he not falling into the very error that he attributes to us; namely, generalizing from too limited evidence?

Where then does such criticism as Grant offers lead us? Are we to be reduced to countering four winged angels to two figures whose backs are hidden, or a vaudeville Christ who sometimes appears without a beard to a conventional Christ who sometimes appears with a beard, or Blake's conception of busts to Schiavonetti's? Surely the aim of scholarship tends to get lost amidst such carping considerations. We all too readily admit that we have much to learn about Blake's complex art—both from Blake and even from John E. Grant—but we doubt if knowledge is greatly advanced by such arguments as those that have been presented in these pages.

Thomas E. Connolly
George R. Levine
State University of New York
Buffalo, New York

Notes Continued...

How "when" came to be printed for "where" in editions of Blake is not clear. Perhaps Ellis and Yeats started the tradition. Sampson (1905) printed "when," but Sloss and Wallis (1926) reverted to "where." Keynes printed "when" in 1925, but afterwards substituted "where"; the Erdman-Bloom edition has "where." There appears to be no textual authority for any reading but "when."

MDP

QUERY

Martin Butlin (The Tate Gallery, London, SW1) asks for "information about the present whereabouts of "The Lute Player", with a drawing of a profile of a man on the back, sold 15th July, 1959 at Sotheby's (lot 52), bought by Jacob Schwartz and almost certainly now in the United States. It may have been given by Mr. T. E. Hanley to some educational body."

The fourth issue of the NEWSLETTER is scheduled for publication on March 15. Copy should be received by March 7 in order to be considered.

The contents of the BLAKE NEWSLETTER are copyright 1963 by Morton D. Paley and may not be reproduced without permission, except for brief excerpts quoted in reviews or articles.