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Newly Uncovered Blake Drawings in the British Museum

BY ROBERT N. ESSICK AND ROSAMUND A. PAICE

In late July and early August, 2001, Robert Essick and Joseph Viscomi, co-editors with Morris Eaves of the William Blake Archive (www.blakearchive.org), and David Clarke and Rod Tidwell, London-based professional photographers, spent a week shooting over 1200 transparencies of Blake’s works in the Department of Prints and Drawings of the British Museum. In the course of this work, the group discovered eight previously unknown pencil sketches by Blake and one by either Blake or his brother Robert. All are on the versos of recorded works. Since the publication in 1981 of Martin Butlin’s monumental catalogue of Blake’s paintings and drawings,1 the staff of the Print Room has been removing a few of Blake’s drawings and prints from their old mounts, for reasons either of conservation or exhibition, and rematting them according to current standards. Some of these efforts were motivated by the Blake exhibition at Tate Britain, 9 November 2000 to 11 February 2001, but in at least one case the rematting probably occurred as early as 1982. Some of the works were pasted down, on all four edges, to their old backing mats, whereas the new matting procedures required the sheets to be hinged at the top. Hence, the versos were uncovered during rematting and left permanently available for inspection. It was of course great fun for the photography team to lift a mat, turn over a drawing or print, and discover a “new” Blake.

None of the sketches presented here will enhance Blake’s reputation as an artist. All, however, are related to projects, ranging chronologically from his earliest attempts at history painting (c. 1780) to the Dante watercolors (1824-27), which play a significant role in our understanding of Blake’s career. The study of preliminary drawings, be they ever so slight, can lead to insights into an artist’s working habits, compositional methods, and stylistic development. Even scholars centrally interested in the symbolic meanings of Blake’s designs can learn something about the evolution of his iconography through a consideration of early preliminaries and variants. Though our own emphasis in what follows will not be interpretive, by reproducing these drawings, and recording basic documentary facts about them, we hope to introduce them into the canon and make them available to scholars writing more detailed and intensive studies.2

While studying at the Royal Academy schools, Somerset House, in 1779-80, Blake made several studies of the human form, apparently from live models in the “life” class. Some are untraced (Butlin nos. 866-69), but two are extant: a male nude (possibly Robert Blake) seen from the side (Butlin 71) and a muscular male nude seen from behind (Butlin 72). Both these examples are in the British Museum and show a detailed concentration on small pencil strokes to outline and shade the human form with anatomical accuracy. The nude seen from behind, acquired by the Museum in 1874, bears on its verso a hitherto unrecorded drawing of a very different character (illus. 1). The composition is not the product of academic precision, but a loose “first thought.” In spite of these differences in style, both the recto nude and the scene found in the verso composition suggest an academic context. In the center of the latter composition are two standing figures, apparently elevated on steps or a plinth of some sort. These may be live models or casts being studied by an audience arranged below them. In the lower left portion of the composition are three figures: the head and upper torso of a (seated?) figure seen in right profile and bending over to the right; and two figures, probably standing, though on a lower level than the raised central couple. The right-most figure in this group of two holds a tablet or sketching pad in his (?) right hand, lowered at a 45-degree angle. The circle to the right of the central couple, and just to the left of the British Museum collection stamp, may be the beginnings of the head of another figure. A final figure, sketched in the loosest possible manner, sits, kneels, or stands in the lower right corner of the sheet. This figure seems to be bending slightly backward, as if to gain a more distant perspective on the central group. In contrast, the figure furthest to the left may be bending over an (unpictured) sketching pad. The vertical lines in the upper background, left, right, and center, may be the large windows of Somerset House; note particularly the horizontal line, possibly indicating a window sill, beneath the three vertical lines on the left. Perhaps while dutifully drawing in the precise style represented by the recto drawing, Blake took a short break to sketch rapidly the room he was working in, and some of his fellow students.


2. Details of medium, paper, size, and any other pertinent facts are given in the captions for each illustration. The British Museum accession numbers are given only for the newly discovered drawings. All works reproduced are by William Blake unless noted otherwise.
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This crude sketch takes on more significance when we consider the transition from sketches of objects before Blake's physical eyes to his imaginative compositions. Early in his career, Blake appears to have been working on a compositional formula, probably based on Renaissance models, suitable for the picturing of biblical scenes; one or two central figures, seated and raised on a plinth or standing, with clusters of figures right and left, and one or more of these subsidiary figures holding a scroll, tablet, or book. This same basic format, for which the newly discovered verso sketch may very well be the first extant example, finds expression in three wash drawings of c. 1780-85: Moses and Aaron (?) Flanked by Angels (illus. 2), The Elders of Israel Receiving the Ten Commandments (Butlin 113 recto) and Enoch Walked with God (Butlin 146). In the first of these, the small figure seated on the left, holding a tablet, is difficult to identify as one of the titular angels, but he can clearly be associated with the

3. Morton Paley has kindly pointed out to us the general similarities between Blake's figures holding and/or inscribing books in his early drawings and several figures similarly occupied in Raphael's School of Athens, including Aristotle (center), Pythagoras (lower left) and an unidentified youth center right.

4. Sketch for The Book of Thel, plate 6. Pencil, 20 x 21.5 cm. on sheet 22.4 x 31.7 cm. with the top portion of a fleur-de-lis watermark, 1789. Verso of accession no. 1983.3.5.1 (see illus. 3). British Museum, Dept. of Prints and Drawings.
similarly placed draftsman in the verso drawing (illus. 1). The style of the new sketch, one in which human figures are rendered in the most minimal manner, with two vertical lines to outline bodies and ovoid shapes for heads, is an even simpler version of what we find in the verso sketch (apparently a preliminary version of the recto) on Three Figures under a Yoke with two Children (c. 1780–85, Butlin 82). Such rudimentary sketches indicate that Blake began his compositions by thinking about the general compositional format for a design, and particularly the placement of figures within a plainer space. Even such important ingredients as clothing and the limbs of figures would come later.

Late in 1982, the British Museum acquired a particularly intriguing preliminary drawing for a design in one of Blake's illuminated books. The sketch shows a two-page opening for The Book of Thel, with the design eventually etched at the bottom of plate 7 appearing as a headpiece for the left-hand (verso) page and an unpublished design, apparently showing the "house" of the "matron Clay" (plates 7, lines 14, 16), at the foot of the facing recto page (illus. 3). Although the evidence provided by this drawing is not conclusive, it does support the theory that the final plate of the poem, with its dramatic shift in point of view and tone, is not the ending Blake had first planned.

When the Museum accessioned its new treasure, early in 1983, it removed the Thel drawing from its old mat and revealed yet another preliminary drawing for the illuminated book (illus. 4). This surprisingly large drawing—the figure on the left is approx. 18 cm. tall—is a boldly executed preliminary for the design on plate 6 of The Book of Thel (illus. 5). Like all of Blake's drawings for designs that he intended to execute as relief etchings, this sketch has right and left the reverse of the etching and is in no sense a "sized" preliminary intended for transfer to the copper plate. In the drawing, Thel stands on the left and looks toward the personified Cloud upper right. A single line extending to the left of Thel's head suggests a cloud outline; a few squiggles in the lower left corner of the sheet hint at the earth, tree trunk, and other vegeta-

5. The Book of Thel, copy L, plate 6. Design only, approx. 8 x 10.8 cm. Relief etching with watercolor washes, 1789-90. Reproduced by permission of the Huntington Library, San Marino, California.

tion pictured in the relief etching. It is unclear if the few fragmentary lines lower right, severely rubbed (perhaps in the course of early matting), and now hardly visible, contain the seeds of the large plant supporting the infant Worm in the published design.

The differences between the two figures in the drawing and their etched versions are slight but significant. Thel's arms are more extended in the print, with considerably less bending at the right elbow (left in the drawing). The Cloud soars toward Thel in the drawing, rather than away from her as in the etching, and more clearly looks at her. Perhaps the most significant variant, though, is the fact that Thel is looking up at the Cloud in the drawing, whereas she gazes downward toward the Worm in the illuminated book. On plate 6 of The Book of Thel, the eponymous heroine addresses the Worm and is addressed in turn by the Cloud of Clay. Thel's discourse with the Cloud appears on plate 5, and thus the design as sketched would seem more appropriate as a tailpiece on that plate than as a headpiece to the next. The design top left in the recto drawing was shifted one place back, from headpiece to tailpiece, in the book. With the verso sketch, the shift in the course of production may have been in the other direction—forward from the bottom of one plate, where it would have illustrated the dialogue between Thel and the Cloud, to the top of the next, where it illustrates Thel's address to the Worm with the Cloud present as audience rather than interlocutor. The design was altered accordingly, the modifications including at least a change in Thel's sight line, as indicated by the position of her head, and probably the addition of the Worm and his vegetable bed.

Perhaps the best reason for the ascription to Fuseli, one not mentioned by these authorities, is the fact that he was left handed and produced diagonal hatching strokes rising from right to left. All the hatching in this drawing is of that variety. Right handed artists, like Blake, habitually draw hatching that rises from left to right.

When dealing with the new drawing on the verso of Fuseli's drawing (illus. 8), we cannot avoid similar questions about authorship. We are fairly confident, however, that it is by Blake. The careful, even studied, handling of the pencil is uncharacteristic of Fuseli's work, including the recto drawing, and produces the sort of detail study that an engraver would work up in preparation for his labors on the plate. A precedent for this sort of Blakean intervention on a work by Fuseli can be found on Fuseli's imaginary portrait of Michelangelo (Butlin 172), engraved by Blake for Fuseli's Lectures on Painting (1801). Fuseli pictured the figure only from the thighs up; Blake, however, worked out how to represent Michelangelo's legs and feet for the full-length portrait plate in a rough pencil sketch upper right on Fuseli's drawing.

The object in the verso sketch is an ancient Egyptian musical instrument, the sistrum. A few sketchy lines in the recto drawing, just to the right of the figure's right foot, also indicate the position of the sistrum. There too, the instrument is cocked at a 45-degree angle relative to the sides of the sheet of paper. These are clearly the same object, although the example on the verso has an extension at its top, indicated by a single line, which is not present in the verso drawing. The next stage of the composition's development, a wash drawing by Blake (Butlin 173), does not contain the sistrum. Yet it is pictured in the engraving, where it is once again modified in various small ways. The appearance, disappearance, and reappearance of the motif suggest a scenario in which Blake failed to include the sistrum in his finished drawing (by design or oversight), later decided to include it, or was told by Fuseli to put it back into the composition, and then drew the verso sketch just prior to beginning his engraving.

5. See the discussion in Butlin 173; Bindman's comments, cited by Butlin, were "in conversation." If the pencil drawing was executed by Blake, then there must have been an earlier version by Fuseli, given the presence in the engraving of Fuseli's name as the design's inventor ("H. Fuseli. RA:inv"). Butlin claims that Albert S. Roe also questioned the attribution to Fuseli; but in the work Butlin cites, Roe states only that "the basic inspiration for the design may well have been suggested by Blake," and continues to refer to the sketch in question as "the preparatory drawing by Fuseli." See Roe, "The Thunder of Egypt," William Blake: Essays for S. Foster Damon, ed. Alvin H. Rosenfeld (Providence: Brown UP, 1969) 158-95, at 160. The engraving, but not the drawing, appears in the standard catalogue of Fuseli's paintings and drawings: Gert Schiff, Johann Heinrich Fuseli (Zurich: Verlag Berichthaus; Munich: Prestel-Verlag, 1973) 1:533.

6. Robert N. Essick wrongly suggests that the sistrum "might be vaguely suggested by a few lines forming a rough triangle," below and to the right of the figure's right foot in Fuseli's drawing, and fails to mention the fine pencil lines actually representing the sistrum. The instrument is not mentioned in Darwin's poem or his notes to it. See Essick, William Blake's Commercial Book Illustrations (Oxford: Clarendon P, 1991) 47.

8. Sketch of a sistrum, drawn by Blake on the verso of Fuseli's drawing of *Fertilization of Egypt* (see illus. 7). Pencil, 3.4 x 3 cm. on sheet 24.1 x 19.4 cm., c. 1791. Accession no. 1863.5.9.931 verso. British Museum, Dept. of Prints and Drawings.
9. Sketches of two heads in profile. Verso of *Europe* copy a, plate 1. Pencil, top head 3.5 x 2 cm., bottom head 4.3 x 2.5 cm., on sheet 32.8 x 26.2 cm., c. 1794? Accession no. 1936.11.16.32 verso. British Museum, Dept. of Prints and Drawings.
Blake appears to have begun proofing the plates for his illuminated book *Europe* (1794) early in the production process. There are several miscellaneous groups of these impressions, including what has been designated as 'copy a' in the British Museum. This contains the only known first-state proof of plate 1, the famous frontispiece often called *The Ancient of Days*. The recently unveiled verso of this impression bears numerous stains, the British Museum collection mark, a pencil line (possibly by Blake) just to the right of the mark, and two heads in profile, certainly by Blake, in the upper left quadrant of the sheet (illus. 9). Although small and sketchy, both heads exhibit the physiognomic characteristics famously associated with the European ruling family, the Hapsburgs. As documented in a sixteenth-century description of the Emperor Charles V, the Hapsburg nose was "aquiline and a little bent," and the lower jaw was "long and projecting," resulting in a protruding lower lip. These features, clearly shown in a painting of the Emperor Maximilian I (1459–1519) and his family,⁷ seem to have died out as a family trait after Leopold I (1640–1705),⁸ but they survived as distinguishing facial elements in caricatures of the reigning Hapsburgs even in Blake's day (see illus. 10).⁹ Whether or not Blake associated these facial features with the Hapsburgs in particular can only be surmised. He did, however, use them in the context of imperial oppression: in *Lucifer and the Pope in Hell*, a separate plate of c. 1794, at least two of the monarchs trapped in the fiery pit appear with the same characteristically Hapsburgian features (illus. 11).

We cannot automatically assume that the slight verso heads are contemporary with the *Europe* frontispiece printed on the other side of the sheet. Blake probably retained the prints constituting copy a until late in his life, and could have used their versos as sketching sheets at a

8. *The Family of Maximilian I* (1515), by Bernhard Strigel (c. 1465–1528). Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna. Maximilian I is back left; his grandson, the future Charles V, is bottom center.
10. *An English Hobby Horse; or, Who Pays the Piper* (pub. 11 May 1791 by William Holland, Oxford St., London). See Dorothy George, *Catalogue of Political and Personal Satires Preserved in the Department of Prints and Drawings in the British Museum*, vol. 6: 1784–1792 ([London: British Museum], 1938) #7857. Another example can be found in *The Genius of France Exiripating Despotism Tyranny & Oppression from the Face of the Earth, Or the Royal Warriors Defeated* (pub. 21 Dec. 1792 by S. W. Fores, No. 3 Piccadilly, London). See George, vol. 6, #8143.
much later date. Indeed, a somewhat similar head (illus. 12), although less Hapsburgian in its features, appears on the verso of a sheet bearing on its recto nine grotesque heads that have long been associated, both in concept and in provenance, with Blake's so-called "Visionary Heads," which he began to execute for John Varley c. 1819. Yet this sheet of studies provides a less than solid touchstone for dating the newly discovered profiles. As Butlin (767) points out, "this sheet of drawings is not particularly characteristic of Blake and, if by him, may be considerably earlier than the Visionary Heads. The drawing on the back [illus. 12] is similar in scale and style." We are more certain than Butlin that all these ten heads are by Blake. When placed in the context of Blake's sketching style of the 1790s, the heads are certainly "characteristic"; moreover, taken as a product of this earlier period, the inscription on the recto, "all Genius varies Thus / Devils are various Angels are all alike," reveals itself as undeniably Blakean in both its handwriting and its spirit, and echoes The Marriage of Heaven and Hell (1790) more powerfully than it accords, in artistic style and content, with Blake's work of the 1820s.

11. Blake's retention of the impressions in copy a seems probable for at least two reasons. The impressions do not constitute a complete copy of Europe, and thus it is unlikely that Blake would have sold this miscellaneous group of prints to a patron as a "copy" of the work. There is no record of a sale during Blake's lifetime, and the nineteenth-century history of the group of prints now called copy a suggests that it passed into the hands of an owner who did not meet Blake until the 1820s. The first record of copy a is in John Giles' auction of the prints in 1881 (Bentley 161). Giles was Samuel Palmer's cousin and is not known to have had any contact with Blake, or to have known of his work, until after Palmer met Blake in the 1820s.

The dating of illus. 9 and 12 must remain speculative, but they would appear mutually to support a date of c. 1794, the date of the Europe proof on the recto of illus. 9. Blake's concern with contemporary political issues, in Europe and his other "Continental Prophecies" of 1793-95, is beyond doubt. This is also the period in which he was producing his most explicitly political separate prints, including Lucifer and the Pope in Hell, with its Hapsburgian heads and its caricature portrait of George III in the person of the Pope. Thus, it would be consistent for Blake concurrently to have been doodling caricatures of the Hapsburgs, and producing the sheet of devilish heads and their accompanying inscription, in about 1794.

Blake's large color prints, conceived and first executed in 1795, are often considered to be among his greatest achievements in the visual arts. These twelve images include God Judging Adam (Butlin 294-96) and Pity (Butlin 310-13). Previously unrecorded sketches for both have now been revealed by rematting activities in the British Museum.

Proof copy a of Europe, mentioned above, contains an impression of plate 18 in a unique first state of three. The recently revealed, much-stained verso bears a light pencil sketch of a horse, facing to the left, and two small figures (the one on the right barely visible) below and to the left of the animal's head (illus. 13). This is a very preliminary version of motifs that evolved into God Judging Adam (illus. 14). The pencil sketch is clearly related to the frontmost horse in the color print: note particularly the arch of the neck, the fiery mane, and the positions of all four legs. The horse faces in the same direction as it does in the color print—an odd feature, given that it must have been facing in the opposite direction on the millboard or copperplate (probably the latter in this instance) from which impressions were printed. At some point in his development of the composition, Blake must have decided to reverse its right/left orientation to retain in impressions of the color print what we find in this preliminary sketch.

If God's judgment is the subject of the sketch, as it is in the color print, the two figures lower left (only just visible in the reproduction) immediately suggest Adam and Eve. The latter is eliminated in the color print, while Adam's size, posture, and position relative to the horse are changed considerably. The diminutive size of both figures in the sketch seems inappropriate, given the composition's probable theme, and subordinates the human to the animal. It is hardly surprising, then, that Adam is


enlarged, and brought closer to God and to the center of the design, in its final form.

The omission of Eve is harder to explain. Both offenders would seem to be present in the judgment scene in Genesis 3, although it is possible to interpret the verses as indicating that Eve is still hiding from God during his initial address to Adam (Genesis 3:9 through 3:12). Perhaps, once the figure of Adam was enlarged, the composition would have become too crowded if Eve had been retained. It is also possible that Blake never considered including Eve; the two figures in the sketch could be alternative versions of Adam.

We have long known that Blake executed four works preparatory to his large 1795 color print, _Pity_ (Butlin 310-12; see illus. 17). One is a rough pencil sketch, obscured by later over-writing, in his Notebook (British Library; Butlin 201.106). Three are on separate sheets in the British Museum: a smaller and unfinished color print (Butlin 313), a pencil drawing with a vertical format (Butlin 314), and another drawing with the horizontal format of all four printed versions (Butlin 315; illus. 15). The verso of this last work contains a newly revealed cluster of sketches (illus. 16) that adds several twists to the story of the design's development. We are far from certain about how to place this version within the chronology of composition; it could have been executed as a trial variant at any point during work on the more finished recto drawing, but the most likely time would be prior to the recto sketch. The new drawing presented here would itself seem to record two stages of composition. What we believe to be the first version of the babe is near the center of the sheet, partly covered by the legs of the woman just above. We can see his elevated arms, the left one extending at a 45-degree angle to the left of the woman's upper right thigh. Raised across the thigh is the babe's right hand, which looks like a cross (a detail unclear in reproduction). There is also a good deal of rubbing-out in this area; perhaps Blake first drew the child in the central position, rubbed out some of the lines defining his body, and then over-drew the woman.

The female clearly pictured in the new drawing is particularly odd; her posture is very different from what we find in all other versions of the composition. She appears to be holding a whip, or reins, in her raised right hand; her left arm, extended along the neck of her horse, may be holding reins. To the right and slightly below her are a star and a moth or bat; a similar pairing of squarish shapes to the left of the latter suggests the possibility of another moth or bat. Neither of these motifs appears in any of the other versions, although the moth/bat is reminiscent of the flying creatures in the color print of _Hecate_ (Butlin 316-18; sometimes entitled _The Night of Enitharmon's Joy_). No such creature is mentioned in the literary source.

for *Pity*, Shakespeare's *Macbeth* 1:7. Elsewhere in the play, however, we do find references to both bats (3:2 and 4:1) and stars (twice in 1:4); perhaps these passages prompted Blake's inclusion of the motifs.

Another sketch of the design appears in the lower half of the sheet. The clearest motif in this second sketch is the child, lower center, with arms raised, in a posture close to what we find in the recto drawing and all other versions. A few sketchy curved lines indicate adult arms reaching down to gather him up. The mould-like form just below the bat/moth, lower right, is probably the rump and upper hind legs of a horse. The curving lines to the left of the bat/moth may be the posterior and lower back of the horse's rider. A few, hardly discernible lines just below the legs of the woman in the upper design suggest the rider's face, turned toward the viewer and a bit downward to look at the child. (We admit that we would not be able to "read" these faint lines as a human face without cross-checking with the color print.) The line lower left, descending from left to right, and the similarly bold line further to the right along the bottom margin of the sheet, vaguely suggest hills, but we are unable to relate them compositionally to either version of the finished design.

It would appear that Blake first sketched the design in the middle of the sheet, with horse and rider facing right, and then sketched another version in the lower half of the sheet, horse and rider facing left (as in all other drawings of the design and reversed in the print). This second drawing may be Blake's earliest execution of the composition that he finally selected for the color print (illus. 17). The Notebook sketch could have been Blake's first attempt at the basic format found in the color print, but it is also possible that the Notebook design was executed a few years earlier and is not directly related to the development of the print. If that is indeed the case, then Blake, after trying a somewhat different design for *Pity*, reverted to an earlier prototype.

17. *Pity*. Color print finished in pen and watercolors, 42.5 x 53.9 cm., 1795. Butlin 310. Tate Britain, London.
18. Sketch for *St. Peter, St. James, Dante and Beatrice with St. John Also* (see illus. 19). Pencil, 32 x 48.4 cm. on sheet 51.9 x 36.5 cm. with a "W ELGAR / 1796" watermark, c. 1824-27. Verso of accession no. 1918.10.12.9, *The Laborious Passage along the Rocks*, Butlin 812.45. British Museum, Dept. of Prints and Drawings. The pencil and pen inscriptions, none in Blake's hand, refer to the recto design, not to this verso sketch. They are as follows: "N 22 next at p 42" (top center); "86" (top right); "Paradiso Canto 26 v 81" (bottom left); "3" (left center); "14 hell Canto 14" (top left, partly erased).

19. *St. Peter, St. James, Dante and Beatrice with St. John Also*. Pen and watercolor over pencil, 36.5 x 52 cm., c. 1824-27. Butlin 812.96. British Museum, Dept. of Prints and Drawings.
Blake's illustrations to Dante's *Divine Comedy* range from slight but energetic sketches to fully developed watercolors (Butlin 812-26). Some of the latter (e.g., Butlin 813) exhibit slight verso drawings. Recent rematting has exposed two more preliminary sketches. *The Laborious Passage along the Rocks* (Butlin 812.46) bears on its verso (illus. 18) a preliminary sketch for St. Peter, St. James, Dante and Beatrice with St. John Also (Butlin 812.96; illus. 19). This vigorous drawing contains first thoughts on paper for all five figures, but in postures that vary from the finished watercolor. The most significant differences can be seen in the figure of Dante, lower center. In the sketch he appears to be ascending upward to the left, with his (left?) arm extended. In the watercolor, he hovers in a vertical position with both arms bent at the elbows, hands raised and fingers spread in astonishment. The top figure, St. John, has been rubbed out in the sketch, but the remaining fragments suggest a different configuration for him from that which we find in the watercolor. He would appear to be hovering horizontally, with his head on the right and his arms extended along the sides of his body. In the watercolor, St. John descends towards Dante, arms bent over his upper body and legs bent at the knees. Both of these major revisions tighten up the composition considerably, with the figures more contracted and intensified.

Undoubtedly the least of the drawings that we wish to introduce into the Blake canon are the two forms (illus. 20) now revealed to be on the verso of another Dante design, *The Circles of Hell* (Butlin 812.101). The left-most sketch is almost certainly a human head, canted to the right and seen from below. There are several such heads in Blake's art, including the large separate plate of *Head of a Damned Soul in Dante's Inferno*, which Blake

20. Two heads? Pencil, each head approx. 4 x 6 cm. on sheet 51.5 x 36.3 cm. with a "W ELGAR / 1796" watermark, c. 1824-27. Verso of accession no. 1918.10.12.7, *The Circles of Hell*, Butlin 812.101. British Museum, Dept. of Prints and Drawings. The black chalk and ink inscriptions, none in Blake's hand, refer to the recto design, not to these verso sketches. They are as follows: "N 8 next at p 17" (right center); "9" (lower right corner); "Hell / Carthew / No Canto numbers. / Entitled 'Hell Circles' at end of list of hell in Christie's Catalogue of Sale." (upper right); "6" (just below center left); "Detris" (top left corner). The long inscription upper right must have been written after the sale of the Dante watercolors at Christie's, 15 March 1918. "Carthew" is a reference to the collector Alice G. E. Carthew, although her connection with this work is unknown. "Detris" may be a reference to the agent who purchased the work for the British Museum; the same name is written next to the listing of the recto watercolor in a copy of the Christie's catalogue in Essick's possession.

engraved after Fuseli c. 1789. Given this precedent, it is not surprising that Blake incorporated the motif into his own Dantean efforts. The boat in Charon and the Condemned Souls (Butlin 812.5) is populated with several similar heads; another, even closer to this sketch, appears lower left in Dante and Virgil Gazing into the Ditch of the Flatterers (Butlin 812.33). Numerous other variations on the basic composition—e.g., head bent back rather than to one side—can be found throughout the watercolor series.

The other form on the newly visible verso may look at first glance like some sort of Blakean polypus, but we believe that it too could be a human head. It would seem to be related to the head of Cavalcante Cavalcanti, which peers just above the ground in the lower right corner of Dante Conversing with Farinata Degli Uberti (illus. 21). In the sketch, the head is bent sharply back and to the right, and is seen from below, but it is turned only slightly to the left and lifted just a bit in the watercolor. The tentacle-like lines below the head in the sketch become Cavalcanti’s hands and fingers in the final version.

Both of the newly revealed versos of the Dante watercolors bear pencil numbers, as recorded in the captions to illus. 18 and 20. These add to, but do nothing to clarify the mysteries concerning, the numbers found on most of the visible versos of the Dante watercolors. Apparently the designs were at one time bound in a volume while in the John Linnell collection, although they must have been disbound when a selection of the watercolors was exhibited at the Royal Academy in 1893. The numbers are not by Blake, but may have been added by a member of the Linnell family or someone working at their behest. Apparently the watercolors were bound in an order that did not accord with the sequence of passages illustrated in Dante’s poem. Two sets of numbers would appear to be an attempt to reorder the designs with a view toward the

13. The head is identified as Cavalcanti’s in Albert S. Roe, Blake’s Illustrations to the Divine Comedy (Princeton: Princeton UP, 1953) 77.

14. Our comments here on the verso numbers are based on Butlin 812 (see particularly 1:555)—with a few added speculations of our own. Butlin notes that his observations are based on the researches of “Miss Mary Laing.”
sequence of illustrated passages. First, numbers without prefatory words or abbreviations (e.g., "86" in illus. 18) apparently record the bound order. Second, a number preceded by "N" or "No" (or some such abbreviation for "number") and generally followed by "next at p" (or something similar) record the revised order, created with a sense of the sequence of passages illustrated, followed by the page number (according to the binding order) of the next illustration in the revised sequence. Some of the versos also record the canto numbers illustrated. The lengthy inscription upper right in illus. 20 was written after the sale of the Dante watercolors in 1918 (see caption).

Blake based what may be his first extant experiment in relief and white-line etching, The Approach of Doom, on a drawing by his beloved brother Robert. The newly revealed verso of this drawing bears a standing figure, with upper body and head turned sharply to the right, and the left arm reaching to the left; to the right of that figure is a small head, probably a trial variant of the standing figure's head (illus. 22). Although no such figure appears in either the recto drawing by Robert Blake or in the relief etching, one cannot rule out the possibility that William Blake made this sketch on the back of his brother’s drawing while helping him with it, or while preparing his relief etching based on it. No other figure study by Robert is so dramatically twisted; only a few of his drawings approach the liveliness of some of the pencil lines, no doubt sketched very rapidly. Robert’s work, including the recto design, is far more studied and rectilinear, and no figure in any of Robert’s other drawings exhibits a similar posture—or even a similar sense of energy. The detached head, however, is more typical of Robert’s work in its blank, mannequin-like outline. Lacking more definite evidence, it might be safest to attribute these verso sketches to “Blake—William and/or Robert.”

22. William and/or Robert Blake. Sketches of a standing figure and a head. Pencil, standing figure approx. 18 x 6 cm. on sheet 33.5 x 47.4 cm. with a large fleur-de-lis watermark, c. 1786-87. Verso of accession no. 1894.6.12.16, The Approach of Doom by Robert Blake, Butlin R2. British Museum, Dept. of Prints and Drawings. The inscription, “by Robert Blake,” written vertically on the left side of the sheet, is not in the handwriting of either Robert or William.
The preliminary drawings presented here prompt a few general observations on Blake's mode of composition. They are all traces of Blake's habit of thinking with his hands, as it were. We get the sense from these rapid, often ill-formed works that Blake was testing out various possibilities without prior plans as to what he was trying to achieve. With the exception of the studied drawing of the sistrum (illus. 8), each of the newly discovered drawings is an act of discovery, not the execution of a pre-existent conception, formal or iconographic. Such practices are hardly unique to Blake; legions of artists since at least the Renaissance have used sketching for these purposes. It is, however, easy for those who concentrate on Blake's texts and his complex ideas to overlook the freedom, the purposeful thoughtlessness, of his sketches. His lifelong experience of this working method may have given rise to his ideas about the seamless relationship between conception and execution, and thoughts on how the former can emerge through the latter. As Blake wrote in about 1808, "Invention depends Altogether upon Execution." 15

MINUTE PARTICULARS

“Friendship,” Love, and Sympathy in Blake’s Grave Illustrations

BY ALEXANDER S. GOURLAY

In his essay announcing the very exciting discovery of Blake's original designs for Robert Blair's poem The Grave, Martin Butlin describes one of them as depicting "eight exquisite airborne female figures, two, accompanied by six cherubs, rising above a crescent moon, while the others soar up and encircle them; together they hold the thread of life." He adds, "This allusion to the Fates is presumably based on the line, in the midst of a long passage on the horrors of suicide on page 18, that reads 'Our Time is fix'd, and all our days are number'd!'" (71). The design, which was not one of those engraved and published in Cromek's 1808 edition of the poem, is reproduced here as illus. 1; I have seen only this image, for the pictures themselves are not presently accessible. 1

Butlin's hypothesis about the subject of the design responds in part to the prominent motif of thread being spun and passed between female figures, which resembles the way Clotho, Lachesis and Atropos spin and pass the thread of life between them in traditional representations of the course of mortal life. Versions of this motif can be found in several of Blake's designs, including the "Arlington Court Picture" (a.k.a. "The Sea of Time and Space," Butlin, Painting and Drawings no. 803) and his illustrations to Gray and Milton. But that particular thread-passing usually ends when Atropos takes her cruel shears and fatally snips the thread—in this design, by contrast, the penultimate woman helps the topmost figure wind the thread in traditional fashion onto a large skein on her wrists, and no cutting tools are in evidence.

Several lines of Blair's poem that appear on pages 4 and 5 of the 1808 edition fit the illustration more closely than the passage about suicide on page 18:

Invidious Grave! How dost thou rend in sunder
Whom love has knit, and sympathy made one!
A tie more stubborn far than nature's band.
Friendship! Mysterious cement of the soul!
[P. 5] Sweet'ner of life! And solder of society!
I owe thee much.

The left figure within the arc of the moon is probably Sympathy, holding a distaff wound with raw material.

1. Nathan Winter of Dominic Winter Book Auctions provided helpful advice. For some news on the watercolors, see Goodwin.
(called “roving”), which she pulls out while twisting the fibers in her fingers to form the thread that constitutes the “stubborn tie” of friendship. Blair’s text distinguishes this thread from “nature’s band,” the one that ties body and soul, which corresponds more closely to the thread handled by the Fates. Sympathy passes the thread of friendship to Love, who is identified here emblematically with traditional images of Charity/Caritas in that she is surrounded by cherubs like those who represent the six acts of mercy. Love feeds the thread down to the cherub at her left foot, who passes it under his chest and up to his fellows on her left (our right), one of whom slips it behind Love’s back to the leftmost cherub and down again to a cherub near her right foot, from whom it passes to the woman at lower left and then around the circle of women to the top. The six women (presumably mortal and sublunary though some transcend the lunar sphere) gracefully cooperate with each other and with the lunar immortals Love and Sympathy, passing the thread among themselves to “cement” and “solder” their friendship, until the last floats above Love and Sympathy and looks directly upward through the loosely wound skein, which in real-life spinning is the last stage before the thread is dyed and then “wound off” into a ball.

Blair’s exclamation, “Invidious Grave! How dost thou rend in sunder / Whom love has knit, and sympathy made one!” laments the fact that friends bound together by love can be parted by death. The text is ambiguous about the extent of the rupture between living and dead friends, but Blake’s design contains no hint that the Grave could ever break the more durable bond of friendship. The picture as a whole seems to be a straightforward celebration of friendship, love, and sympathy, even if there are reasons to suspect that Blake thought of them all as mere shadows of a greater love. Female love, female beauty, and the textile arts (especially weaving) are sometimes represented in Blake as potentially delusive, blinding, or binding, and are associated with the seductive charms and limitations of the natural world as opposed to the sublime glories of the spiritual realm. Since spinning and its correlates are the postlapsarian business of Eve and her daughters, spinning in Blake sometimes seems a fallen activity, productive and even satisfying but not in itself redemptive. Nevertheless, I find little that could be seen as sinister in this design, which suggests that the difference between corporeal and spiritual friendship is one of degree rather than of kind. Even the spiral arrangement of the cooperating women echoes the circular or helical movement of spinning itself, and implies a special connection between pleasant sociability and spinning. The smaller female floating above Love and her friend Sympathy and looking upward through the accumulating skein may indicate the importance of vision in transcending the social spiral of friendship.

In their 1982 edition of The Grave Essick and Paley speculate that the unengraved subject listed as “Friendship” in Cromek’s first prospectus for his edition of the poem might illustrate the latter part of the passage quoted above (75), and I believe they are right. But friendship is also an issue in another of the newfound unengraved designs for The Grave (illus. 2); Butlin reports that the mount for this drawing is inscribed “Friendship” in an unknown hand and notes that this title is in Cromek’s prospectus (71). The design shows a gowned, bearded man (Jesus in a traveler’s hat) pointing forward and rightward with his left hand as he walks along a rutted road in step with a mortal traveler who uses a walking stick; almost hand in hand, they approach a radiant city on a hill that has at least two open gates. The road swerves right and disappears into a (river?) valley before it connects with the approaches to either of the gates, and the vegetation at left of their feet seems more vigorous than at right. The picture is one of two among the rediscovered designs that appear to illustrate images in a long passage near the end of the poem on page 29 in the 1808 edition:

Heaven’s portals wide expand to let him [Jesus] in;  
Nor are his friends shut out: as a great prince  
Not for himself alone procures admission  
But for his train; it was his royal will,  
That where he is there should his followers be.  
Death only lies between, a gloomy path!  
Made yet more gloomy by our coward fears!  
But nor untrod, nor tedious; the fatigue  
Will soon go off. Besides, there’s no bye-road  
To bliss.

Butlin describes one newly discovered picture, which he does not reproduce, as “Christ leading the blessed souls into heaven” (71), and reports that it is related to several other Blake designs, including a sketch of a gowned figure leading souls toward a gothic doorway (see fig. 28 in Essick and Paley). If it is anything like the sketch, now in the Victoria and Albert Museum, this new design probably illustrates the first five lines of the passage above, which

2. The figure of Love in the Blair design is clearly related to the Charity-based figure of the “Church Universal” (E 559) or “Christian Church” (E 553) in Blake’s several depictions of the Last Judgment.

3. This floating female is clearly related to a very similar figure in the “Arlington Court Picture,” probably a girl, who reclines beneath a tree while holding (as helpful children often did) a skein of thread that a standing woman winds off into a ball; this thread is physically separate from those handled by the Fates at the bottom of the picture and by the weavers and other women at right, and it is not clear who spun it. See Heppner 262; he suggests that the girl is looking up to indicate the source of the skein.
describe Jesus leading a "train" of souls to "Heaven's portals." The picture inscribed "Friendship," on the other hand, appears to respond in general to the idea of Jesus as guide and in particular to the phrase "There's no bye-road / To bliss," for it shows Jesus giving traveling directions to a living man on his way to heaven. While it is true that the beginning of the passage refers generally to the "friends" of Jesus, and the almost-clasped hands, similar outfits, and synchronized steps emphasize the compatibility of the two figures, "Friendship" seems to me to be at most a secondary theme rather than the subject of this picture. As it turned out, neither contender for the title of "Friendship" was published, but it is not likely that Blake sold Cromek two different pictures by that name. Perhaps Cromek and company had to sort out for themselves the subjects of Blake's designs after the relationship between artist and publisher turned sour: whoever wrote the word on the mount may have sought "Friendship" among the pictures and guessed that that title must apply to this picture of two congenial males.
A Dutch Bibliophile Edition of

The Marriage of Heaven and Hell (1928)

BY OSKAR WELLENS

After the publication of Alexander Gilchrist’s Life of William Blake in 1863, “Blake was,” in the words of G. E. Bentley, Jr., “immediately elevated from obscurity to fame and notoriety.” It is no matter for surprise that henceforth numerous editions of his works started rolling off the presses, not only in England and America, but also on the Continent. The Marriage of Heaven and Hell, By William Blake, appeared in Maastricht, the Netherlands, in 1928, an edition that deserves some special attention, for it was brought out in a limited run designed for bibliophiles only. This note is meant to throw some light on the genesis of this remarkable publication.

First a word on its publisher. Alexandre Alphonse Marius Stols (illus. 1) is in place here, for he was a monument in the annals of notable publications of Dutch as well as of foreign bellettristic works. Born in Maastricht in 1900 as the son of a printer and publisher, Stols was from an early age steeped in the world of book production which exerted a strong fascination over him. However, his father never wanted his son to succeed in the business, but instead sent him to the University of Amsterdam in 1919 to acquire a degree in law. In that lively city Stols was caught up in the maelstrom of intellectual and cultural life, commencing his lifelong acquaintance with writers, sculptors, painters, and actors. He also attended lectures on art history, paleography, philosophy, and Dutch and French literature. In 1923 he changed to the University of Leiden, where he graduated with a B.A. in law. Meanwhile, he had started printing and publishing his own works, which over the years included an extraordinary range of prominent Dutch and Flemish poets and novelists, many of them rising to prominence. Stols seems to have been endowed with an unusual flair for spotting genuine literary talent. From the very beginning of his publishing career Stols also catered for an international market, printing works by Paul Valéry, Shakespeare, Paul Claudel, Rainer Maria Rilke, André Gide, etc. His correspondence with writers and artists all over the world—more than 10,000 letters have been pre-

long to carry out the work with private materials entirely, but for the time being either Mr. J. van Krimpen's new and particularly fine 'Lutetia' type will be used . . . or the old types of which the matrices belong to the world-famous typographical collection of Messrs. Joh. Enschedé en Zonen of Haarlem. Advantage will be taken, too, of copies of old types such as modern art has learnt to produce so well. Similarly, it is intended that the Halcyon publications shall ere long be printed on specially made paper bearing the watermark of the Press. Until this can be done use will generally be made of the various makes of antique paper handmade after old Dutch methods. Title-designs and initials shall give to text and page their full measure of beauty.

Jan van Krimpen (1892-1951) was probably the most distinguished typographer and calligrapher of the twentieth century in the Netherlands, who was also much in demand internationally, especially in Britain, France, and America. He had created and cast the Lutetia Roman letter, called after the old name for Paris, for the catalogue of L'Art Hollandais à l'Exposition Internationale des Arts Décoratifs et Industriels Moderns, an exhibition held in Paris in 1925. This new letter, for which he was awarded the Grand Prix by the French organizers of the exhibition, caused quite a stir among contemporary typographers. An anonymous contributor to *The Fleuron: A Journal of Typography* wrote that the Lutetia "created something of a sensation, not only for its singular beauty and clarity of form, but because the face was in no recognizable way purloined from ancient times but instead rose freshly from the reasoned canons of type design." Bruce Rogers described the Lutetia as having "strength with delicacy, grace with dignity," and A. van der Boom styled it "a letter of noble pomp and full of distinguished grace," and Stols himself wrote that the new letter was "the result of labor and of a study of the good old-national Italian and French letters . . . it has a fresh, original cachet . . . it can be used for every good work." The house Enschedé and Sons of Haarlem, for which van Krimpen worked, was founded at the beginning of the eighteenth century and soon emerged as one of the most famous Dutch printing businesses and especially typefounders the Netherlands has ever witnessed.

4. van Dijk, Stols 437. This and the subsequent translations from the Dutch are my own.
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A MEMORABLE FANCY

In a Printing house in Holkew saw the method
in which knowledge is transmitted from generation

to generation. In the first chamber was a Dragon-Man, clearing
away the rubbish from a cave's mouth; within, a num-
ber of Dragons were hollowing the cave. In the second chamber was a Viper folding round
the rock & the cave, and others adorning it with gold
silver and precious stones. In the third chamber was an Eagle with wings and
feathers of air; he caused the inside of the cave to be
infinite; around were numbers of Eagle-like men, who
built palaces in the immense cliff. In the fourth chamber were Lions of flaming fire,
raging around & melting the metals into living fluids. In the fifth chamber were Uranial forms, which call
the metals into the expanson. There they were receted by Min who occupied the
sixth chamber, and took the forms of books & were
arranged in libraries.

THE Giants who formed this world into
its sensual existence and now seem to live
in it in chains, are in truth the causes of its life
& the sources of all activity; but the chains
are the cunning of weak and same minds which
have power to resist energy: according to the
proverb, the weak in courage is strong in
cunning. Thus one portion of being is the Prolific, the
other, the Devouring: to the devourer it seems
as if the producer was in his chains; but it is
not so, he only takes portions of existence and
fancies that the whole
But the Prolific would cease to be Prolific unless
the Devourer as a sea received the excess
of his delights.
Some will say, 'Is not God alone the Prolific?'
I answer, 'God only Acts and is, in existing
beings or Men.'
These two classes of men are always upon
earth, & they should be enemies: whoever tries
to reconcile them seeks to destroy existence.
Religion is an endeavour to reconcile the two.

Note. Jesus Christ did not wish to unite, but
to separate them, as in the Parable of sheep
and goats! & he says, 'I came not to send Peace
but a Sword.'


The Halcyon Press was to publish limited editions only,
chiefly aimed at an international market, for fanciers of
exclusive works were exceptional in Holland at the time.
In the year of the foundation of this distinguished, if ex-
ensive, bibliophile series Stols published his first English
work, Odes by John Keats, in a dual language edition of
125 copies featuring Keats's six famous odes. The following
year, 1928, the Halcyon Press brought out The Mar-
rriage of Heaven and Hell, by William Blake (illus. 2-3) in a
run of 325 copies on Pannenkoek Antique paper, printed
by Joh. Enschedé en Zonen, using van Krimpen's Lutetia
Roman and Italic with caps in red for the initials. They
were bound in limp parchment with the title on the spine
and the printer's mark on the upper cover in gold, or in
quarter buckram. The editorship was entrusted to P. N.
von Eyck (1887-1954), a prominent Dutch poet and jour-
nalist, who later became professor of Dutch literature at
the University of Leiden. Although Stols had hinted that
he had drawn von Eyck's attention to André Gide's French
translation of The Marriage of Heaven and Hell, pub-
lished first in the 1922 issue of the Nouvelle Revue Française

and separately reprinted in 1923 by Claude Aveline, Stols's
French agent in Paris, it was probably van Eyck himself
who had spurred Stols to publish Blake's work. In a letter
to Stols, dated 12 September 1927, van Eyck, residing in
London as a foreign correspondent, focused on Max
Lowman's facsimile edition of Blake's Marriage of Heaven
and Hell, published in 1927 by J. M. Dent, and from the
many books on Blake in van Eyck's library we know that
ever since 1925 he had been engrossed in the English poet.12

Apparently the preparatory work for the text and ty-
pography of Stols's The Marriage of Heaven and Hell ent-
tailed some serious difficulties. Stols spoke of "a vehe-
ment quarrel" between van Eyck and van Krimpen be-
cause

information concerning the relationship between Stols, van Eyck,
and van Krimpen.
The publisher had left the typography entirely in Van Eyck's hands—considering him deservedly as an experienced private printer—but had not reckoned with Van Krimpen's touchiness. It appeared that the latter did not suffer interference with typographic design in 'his' department.... It became a question of 'give and take.'

Whatever the differences of opinion between van Eyck and van Krimpen concerning the typography, *The Marriage of Heaven and Hell* was printed as a brilliant example of their superior craftsmanship and therefore must be seen as a fine tribute to Blake's genius. Regrettably, I have been unable to trace any critical English and Dutch response to this splendid publication. But that Stols scored a success with his Halcyon Press's publications in English appears from the fact that he continued to bring out such exclusive English works, including Dante Gabriel Rossetti's *Hand and Soul* (1928), Milton's *The Sonnets, Both English and Italian* (1929), Keats's *The Collected Sonnets* (1930), Lord Byron's *Lyrical Poems* (1933), etc.


---

**REVIEWS**


Reviewed by Nelson Hilton


The problem for general readers with Bentley's massive contributions has been that their rigorous factuality offers little narrative accommodation. More than any student of Blake, he has taken to heart Blake's comment, "Tell me the Acts, O historian, and leave me to reason upon them as I please; away with your reasoning and
your rubbish. All that is not action is not worth reading. Tell me the What; I do not want you to tell me the Why, and the How; I can find that out myself, as well as you can . . ." (Descriptive Catalogue). So it was that the general and specialist reader wishing some sense of the larger pattern of Blake’s life turned first to Gilchrist (as revised and annotated by Todd), to Wilson, to Mason, or most recently to Peter Ackroyd for a narrative of Blake’s life (Bentley’s appendix 1 details 37 “principal biographies of Blake”).

But no longer. With his new book, Bentley offers a glorious capstone to his labors, a work for which all his others can be seen as contributing preparations. For both specialist and general readers, this book becomes instantly the single most useful and reliable guide to Blake’s life. Like all of Bentley’s work, it offers an epitome of scholarship in quality and quantity—a 34 page index, an addenda, 2 appendices, over 600 footnotes, and over 1,100 endnotes. This marvelous achievement is also a splendid example of Bentley’s concern for the book as physical artifact, with 136 plates and 40 figures, on wonderful paper and carefully proofread. There are, as one would expect from such a scholar, interesting new facts to consider—that young William Blake was watched over by a nurse, for instance—and everywhere a sensitivity to tone and context that places known facts in a new and revealing light.

As the title suggests and Bentley himself points out, the book takes its keynote from Blake’s involvement with radical Dissent and develops around the central thesis that

Blake transmuted his native language of religious Enthusiasm into the language of art, and he interfused the revolutionary Christian vision that was his birthright with the English literary vision in which he immersed himself during his adolescence and the neo-classical artistic vision into which he grew in manhood. (xxv)

While it is of course Blake’s “transmutation of art” that the book celebrates, the recurring points of reference, announced in the title of the introduction, are “Paradise and the Beast.”

The first chapter, “God at the Window,” reviews the tiny amount known about Blake’s parents and for the first time in a major biography uses Aileen Ward’s 1995 discovery to set straight even the author’s own earlier acceptance of the longstanding curiosity of Blake’s having as his favorite brother a sibling ten years younger (one who would have been five when Blake left the family for his apprenticeship). In fact, Robert—evidently misidentified as “Richard” in the church record—was four-and-a-half years younger. Here too we learn that Blake had “been known to walk 50 Miles in the day” (28), and that Bentley considers E. P. Thompson’s case for Blake’s brand of Dissent as specifically Muggletonian (in Witness Against the

Beast [1993]) to be “intriguing but tentative and inconclusive” (7).

Chapter 2 covers 1772 to 1779, Blake’s seven years as “The Visionary Apprentice.” These pages include a wonderful evocation, illustrated with several highly instructive plates, of the engraving studio environment which in one form or another was to be the setting for most of Blake’s working life. Here too we are reminded of Blake’s “extraordinary facility” in writing backwards (37), and of Blake’s earliest surviving engraving, his “Joseph of Arimathea among the Rocks of Albion,” c. 1773, adapted c. 1810, which demonstrates

the idiosyncratic Dissenting Christianity, the fascination with syncretic mythology, the focus upon England or Albion as the centre of psychic energy, and the extraordinary originality which were to mark all his greatest works in poetry and design for the rest of his life. (36)

These years manifest also his “fascination with medieval literature” as evident in

his enthusiasm for Dante and Chaucer and Spenser and for Percy’s Reliques of Ancient English Poetry (he owned the 1765 edition) and even for the pseudo-Gothic of Chatterton’s Rowley poems (he owned the 1778 edition) and James Macpherson’s Ossian poems . . . . (42)

Of the poems in Blake’s Poetical Sketches which must date from this time, Bentley remarks that they “are about love rather than addressed to a lover,” that “their sexual suggestiveness is astonishing,” and that “[c]ombined with his sexual daring is a casualness or daring in prosody which would have left eighteenth-century readers breathless” (45).

Chapter 3, on the eight years between 1779 and 1787—when Blake turned thirty—finds the artist “Delighted with Good Company.” A central event here is Blake’s marriage in 1782 to Catherine Boucher, then “just a few months past her twentieth birthday” (68), though the particular alchemy of the marriage, as of any enduring marriage, remains a mystery: “She was, of course, illiterate, she did not speak grammatical English, she knew nothing of art or the world of ideas, of poetry and philosophy and history, and she had probably never been to London only a few miles away” (65). But she sang “delightfully,” and, as Bentley notes perceptively, “their friends regularly referred to Blake and Catherine together. They were separated for only five weeks between their marriage in 1782 and Blake’s death in 1827 six days before their forty-fifth wedding anniversary” (70). He adds, in a later observant footnote, that “Blake and Catherine shared a double-bed, even in sickness, for Hayley had once ‘the pain of seeing both confined to their Bed’ (singular) (15 July 1802)” (213). We find that the Blake-Hayley connection dates back even to 1784 when, with information bearing on the
much-debated “Advertisement” to Poetical Sketches, “Flaxman wrote to his new friend the popular gentleman-poetaster William Hayley describing Blake’s book in terms strikingly similar to the account in the preface” (76). The further “Good Company” of Blake’s partnership with James Parker, his brother Robert, and admirers in “the Mathew Circle” notwithstanding, this chapter features the five-times mentioned presence of “the Beasts of the state and the state Church” (63), as in the couple’s marriage bond, which shows “the language and the power of the Beast nakedly displayed” (69), or in Blake’s long acquaintance, discussed in a section on “The Blake Family Hosiery Shop and the Parish of St. James,” with the “mechanics of parish charity” and what “Blake had been taught about the ways of the Beast among the children of men, even, or perhaps especially, when they claimed to be acting in charity” (90). The chapter ends a bit curiously, as it imagines the titular “stranger from paradise” having “shaken off another covering of earth” with the death of his brother Robert and now “another step closer to heaven” (99).

Eight of the “Dark Profitable Years” from 1787 to 1795 included in the twenty identified by Blake’s famous letter of October 1804 occupy chapter 4. These years include Blake’s invention of relief etching and creation of all his early and best-known volumes in that medium. As Bentley points out, “[o]ne advantage of relief-etched plates was that they could be printed far more simply and rapidly than incised intaglio plates.” He draws on research by Joseph Viscomi to confirm our revised sense of Blake’s publishing methods in noting that “[w]hen a whole book was printed in one colour, as in Blake’s early practise, [William and Catherine] could easily print 500 pages in a week, enough to account for the sixteen copies of Songs of Innocence in one print-run” (103). Concerning “[t]he speakers of the poems in Songs of Innocence, Bentley makes the point students can never hear too often: “none is William Blake” (132). Quotations of Blake’s poetry are taken from Bentley’s complete edition which, since its interesting and novel typographic notation is not explained here, might lead a reader or two to puzzle over some of the transcriptions:

Tyger, Tyger, burning bright,
In the forests of the night:
What immortal hand or eye
Could frame thy fearful symmetry?

Here, too, Bentley reflects on Blake’s profound friendship with Flaxman and Henry Fuseli, two “extraordinarily different men.”

Where Flaxman was gentle, classical, and restrained, Fuseli was rough, romantic, and passionate. Flaxman’s sculptures and drawings were in quiet black and white, cel-

Bentley’s suggestion of “an extraordinarily confident intimacy” between Blake and Fuseli during the early 1790s, does not, however, extend to the fact of Mary Wollstonecraft’s consuming infatuation with Fuseli at the time, so that despite the graphic quotation of Fuseli in Visions of the Daughters of Albion, Bentley downplays that work’s association with Wollstonecraft as accomplished “more by critical ingenuity than by fact” (111).

The five years covered in chapter 5 track Blake upon “The Ocean of Business” from 1795 to 1800. Blake’s watercolors and engravings for Young’s Night Thoughts were the large project at the outset, and apropos of its evolution Bentley observes that

[i]n an engraved edition had originally been in contemplation, it is unlikely that the uniquely valuable author’s copy would have been used as the text, with all the necessary hazards to it which that entailed from an engraver’s inky fingers. Further, there would have been little point in making so many hundreds of designs, far more than could ever be published, or in elaborately colouring the ink outlines. But such colouring and such profusion were perfectly appropriate for an extra-illustrated work. (165)

This period also includes Blake’s famous letter to Rev. John Trusler, whose falling out with the spiritual world it purported to regret; Bentley nicely fills in the picture a bit, relating how some thirty years before,

Trusler proposed to publish 150 sermons printed in imitation of handwriting, so that preachers could pretend to be the composers of the sermons they delivered. For such publications he was memorably attacked as one of the ‘reverend parsonal banditti . . . with all the chicane of sacerdotal hypocrisy’ (181)

Of Vala, or the Four Zoas, begun during this period (though the word ‘Zoas’ is used only in the title page”) and presented in his facsimile edition of nearly forty years ago, Bentley remarks succinctly: “Blake worked and reworked the poem, and in each revision it seemed to become more promising and less coherent” (200).

“Sweet Felpham and Rex vs Blake” occupies chapter 6, its four years of 1800 to 1804 being the shortest chronological span of any. Hayley is a central figure here, and Bentley’s evocation of his “harmless affections” speaks volumes: “describing himself as the Bard of Sussex and the Hermit of Earlam—his fifteen-year-old son addressed him as ‘My very dear Bard’ and ‘Dearest of Prophe—
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ets" (204). This son, Tom, was apprenticed to Flaxman in 1794, and Bentley speculates that Blake may have taught him drawing or etching and quotes a letter of 1796 in which Tom writes his father that he "may if possible take a walk to [Blake's] house tomorrow morning" (205). Tom's death in May of 1800 after a long illness becomes one of the determinations leading to Blake's move to Felpham and Hayley in September 1800. "The first great gift of Felpham to Blake," observes Bentley, "was to open his eyes to new kinds of natural beauty" (216). A footnote reveals the thoroughness of the author's research into this topic as well:

Gilchrist ... says: 'Often, in after years, Blake would speak with enthusiasm of the shifting lights on the sea he had watched from [his cottage] windows.' My wife and I have repeatedly admired this sea-view at dawn as the guest of Heather Howell, the generous owner of Blake's Cottage today. (211)

Things started going wrong as Blake became more conscious of Hayley's "Genteel Ignorance & Polite Disapprobation" (233) and went worse with local quarreling of the First Regiment of Royal Dragoons ("cavalry so-called because they were armed with the short, large-bore musket called a dragon") in May 1803: "the presence of swaggering soldiers in the village must have been to Blake a sign that the Beast was verily among them" (251). The incident in the cottage garden of Friday, 12 August 1803, and the background, implication, and resolution of Blake's being accused of sedition are lucidly detailed.

Chapter 7 has Blake "Drunk with Intellectual Vision" from 1804 to 1810 as he works on illustrations for The Grave, his Canterbury Pilgrims and his exhibition, with its Descriptive Catalogue. Describing the best-known image of Blake prepared for The Grave (and on the jacket cover of this biography), Bentley imagines Blake in April 1807 posing in Phillips's painting-room at 8 George Street in very uncharacteristic surroundings. He wore an unfamiliar starched shirt, a stock, an elegant coat, and a gold watch-fob, perhaps all of them painting props from Phillips's studio like the bench on which he sat. The props are unfamiliar except for the pencil in his hand, but the rapt expression in his eyes is perfectly characteristic. (290)

Bentley powerfully reminds us of a painting in Blake's exhibition which has since disappeared: "Most of the pictures were of modest dimensions, 10" x 12" or less, but one of them, 'The Ancient Britons' was bigger than all the rest put together, 14' x 10', the largest picture Blake ever made, with 'Figures full as large as Life'" (326). Readers in Wales should be alerted to know that "[w]hen the great picture was finally delivered, Owen Pughe presumably took it to his estate at Nantglyn, near Denbigh, Wales, and it has never been recorded since" (329).

The penultimate eighth chapter takes Blake's life from 1810 to 1818, years to which Bentley applies Blake's slightly earlier lament, "I am hid." That marginal comment in the annotations to Reynolds is glossed by another, where Blake reports that "Cowper came to me and said, "Oh! that I were insane, always . . . Oh! that in the bosom of God I was hid . . . as a refuge from unbelief."" Bentley's followup seems as close as he ever comes to disclosing his own point of view: "To the worldly, those who believe in vision and God seem to be insane. To the truly religious, faith is a refuge in the bosom of God from unbelief" (343). These concerns are especially pertinent in a chapter which has to consider directly whether

[t]he uniform testimony as to Blake's madness from these great writers and critics, from Lamb and Hazlitt and Southey and Wordsworth and Crabb Robinson himself, should make Blake's readers two hundred years later wonder whether Blake's contemporaries were not right, whether Blake was, at least in these years 1810-18, suffering from delusions. (342)

Bentley's conclusion is forthright and judicious:

none of these great men knew Blake personally—only Southey had actually met him, and that but once—and none knew his works better than superficially. Of course a superficial verdict of madness does not preclude a profound verdict of madness. But readers two centuries later may still reflect that they have far more evidence . . . they may reserve the right to judge for themselves on more extensive evidence, though with less genius than Crabb Robinson's friends had. (342)

He then introduces most effectively a quotation from Blake's Public Address: "It is very true what you [English engravers] have said for these thirty two Years[,] I am Mad or Else you are So[,] both of us cannot be in our right Senses[,] Posterity will judge by our Works" (342). Still, it makes for sad reading to see that in June of 1814, "Cumberland again called on Blake and found him 'still poor but Dirty'" (347), or, two years later, Nancy Flaxman reporting to her husband that "for as I understand B— was very violent[,] Indeed beyond all credence . . . other people are not oblid'g to put up with B's odd humours—but let that pass." (348)

The final chapter, "The Ancients and the Interpreter," covers the "florescence of Blake's art and serenity in the last years of his life . . . largely due to John Linnell" (367). Linnell, Bentley reports, "was a man remarkably like Blake in many ways" and, like Blake, "a profound Dissenter" who "spoke the language of Enthusiasm: 'The mind that rejects the true Prophet . . . generally follows the Beast also for the Beast & False-Prophet are always found together'" (365). Curiously, "[i]t is chiefly from Linnell's taciturn Journal that we learn of Blake's surprisingly ex-
tensive experience with seeing plays" (385). Whether owing to Linnell's offices or a more general accommodation,

[there seems to have been a change in Blake by 1820. His intimate friends John Flaxman and William Hayley had written of 'Blake's irritability' (2 Jan 1804), his 'nervous Irritation' (3 Aug 1805), his 'little Touches of nervous Infirmitiy' (15 July 1802), 'on the verge of Insanity' (3 Aug 1805), like the mad William Cowper. Southey concluded from his visit to Blake in 1812 that 'You could not have delighted in him—his madness was too evident, too fearful. It gave his eyes an expression such as you would expect to see in one who was possessed.' However, those who met Blake after 1820 were struck by his serenity. (381)

These years include Blake's friendship with John Varley, memorably described as one who was "repeatedly arrested for debt and as repeatedly rebounded with undiminished ebullience; he used to say, 'all these troubles are necessary to me . . . If it were not for my troubles I should burst with joy!" (369). These were also the years that Blake became the center of the small coterie of artists who labeled themselves "the Ancients." Preeminent among them was Samuel Palmer, who was to become a principal source for the first major biography of Blake in 1863 but whose conventional piety and rooted conservatism resulted in a portrait of Blake "far less radical than is congenial" to the present (408).

With its opposition between "the Realm of the Beast and the Stranger from Paradise" (439), Bentley's book offers the most uncompromising image of Blake's life yet presented, one sure to rouse up the young men and women of the new age and to inspire the present and coming generations of Blake enthusiasts.

**Corrigenda**

Two works pictured in the article "Blake's Graphic Use of Hebrew" from the fall 2003 (volume 37, no. 2) issue were identified in error as belonging to the Lessing J. Rosenwald Collection of the Library of Congress. *Laocoön* (illus. 7, also the cover illustration) is held by the Fitzwilliam Museum; *Job's Evil Dreams* (illus. 3) is in the collection of the Pierpont Morgan Library. Thanks to Robert N. Essick for calling our attention to these errors.

**Color-Printing Debate**

The latest contributions to the one-pull vs. two-pull color-printing debate—Martin Butlin's "William Blake, S. W. Hayter and Color Printing," with a response from Robert N. Essick and Joseph Visconti—are now available exclusively on the journal's web site at www.blakequarterly.org. Previous articles on the subject can be found on the web site and in the winter 2001-02 (volume 35, no. 3) and fall 2002 (volume 36, no. 2) issues.
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