BLAKE

D I S C U S S I O N

Blake at Detroit and Philadelphia

Frederic Cummings

Blake/An Illustrated Quarterly, Volume 2, Issue 3, December 15, 1968, pp. 46-48



(1) Perhaps this is the copy (b. NOISSUSSIG for usein, t.e.g.) sold for Chartes Dew of Salisbury at Sothoby's, 1892 June 25, (ot 1002;*

(2) Offered by Quartitan in a letter of 24 May 1900! for £42 to

With intellectual spears, & long winged arrows of thought and the state of the state of thought and the state of thought and the state of thought and the state of the

Octoured about 1797, siddlephild bins tioned to shall in contemporary half calf, the upper cover detached (according to the 1958 catalogue).

hi will an Enson (1796-1832), agrimmus Frederic Cummings (1796-1832) and the Milliam Enson of Bisland The Detroit I institute of Arts (1118 Voyans and Martin Butlin States)

(1) Inscribed "This Copy was coloured for me by Mr Blake/ W. E.", porhaps

In a recent number of the Blake Newsletter two special points of criticism were made of the Blake entries in the exhibition catalogue, Romantic Art in Britain, Paintings and Drawings, 1760-1860, held at Detroit and Philadelphia in 1968. These two points, made by Anne T. Kostelanetz, are treated below.

In a review of approximately 1200 words, the critic appears to have missed whatever points that would be of special interest and use to Blake scholars. Since they escaped the reviewer, it may be helpful to note them here. Two "lost" works by Blake were rediscovered and their first 20th-century publication was made in this catalogue. The original version of A Breach in a City the Morning after a Battle exhibited at the Royal Acedemy in 1784 was located, documented, and is reproduced for the first time outside of an Anderson auction catalogue. The illustration to Milton's Paradise Lost: The Fall, recently acquired by the Harvard College Library, Cambridge, Massachusetts, is here reproduced and discussed for the first time. The correct literary text for the Illustration to the Apocalypse in the Rosenbach Foundation, Philadelphia, was given for the first time. The emblematic references in Queen Katherine's Dream (National Gallery of Art, Washington, Rosenwald Collection) are explained in this catalogue for the first time, and a hypothesis concerning the subject of the Yale University drawing was presented. The critic was generous enough to note that the study of the nude boy in the British Museum could in fact be one of Blake's academy studies of c. 1778, a hypothesis also first set forth in this catalogue. Teom fact noises qui out evia bisoda teli evoda ent eses al Might Thoughts (1797) are coloured, it may be worth remarking that There are

To take up the two points of criticism, the reviewer rightly pointed out that three series of illustrations to Milton's Paradise Lost are mentioned, although she failed to note that only two are discussed. Even though the word three instead of two is used in one place, only two series are discussed, so it should be clear to a discerning reader that this is an erratum caught for the list of errata (not yet published), rather than a misconception. In any case, this is one useful point to have made.

The reviewer's second point concerns her reservations about the identification of the subject of the Yale University drawing (no. 99 of the catalogue) which is entitled Albion Compelling the Four Zoas to their Proper Tasks. The critic had not seen the original drawing which I studied carefully from the original at Yale while preparing the catalogue entry and then again for the nine weeks that it was in Detroit. A view of the original would have shown beyond doubt that the figure is indeed holding a bow and not a sickle as suggested by Geoffrey Keynes (Pencil Drawings, II, 1956, No. 25).

The critic surmises that this kneeling figure is a personification of the

sun, and oddly she requotes the exact lines given in the catalogue (p. 166) of the exhibition, with this exception, that she quotes only part of the passage. The entire passage reads:

of a page. Moreover, no page of Might Phogonta Is organized with a centra The Breath Divine went forth over the morning hills Albion rose In anger: the wrath of God breaking bright flaming on all sides around His awful limbs: into the Heavens he walked clothed in flames Loud thundring, with broad flashes of flaming lightning & pillars Of fire, speaking the Words of Eternity in Human Forms, in direful Revolutions of Action & Passion, thro the Four Elements on allisides Surrounding his awful Members. Thou seest the Sun in heavy clouds Struggling to rise above the Mountains. in his burning hand a vide acq ton He takes his Bow, then chooses out his arrows of flaming gold Murmuring the Bowstring breathes with ardor! clouds roll round the Horns of the wide Bow, loud sounding winds sport on the mountain brows Compelling Urizen to his Furrow; & Tharmas to his Sheepfold; And Luvah to his Loom: Urthona he beheld mighty labouring at: His Anvil, in the Great Spectre Los unwearied labouring & weeping plant aft Therefore the Sons of Eden praise Urthonas Spectre in songs did benefit in Because he kept the Divine Vision in time of trouble. edt mont enelg laitage thereitib vistatomos a no ene propy of anoitartaulil

In the first few lines Blake is merging his resurrected Albion with an image of the sun. Admittedly, the language is obscure but there is little doubt that it is Albion who is clothed in flames, and it is clear that Albion is suddenly seen as an aggressive vision like the sun. It is Albion still whose bow, arrows, and the winds arising from this source of power over the mountains compel. Urizen and the other Zoas to their tasks. The critic is right in seeing this image as the sun, but as so often in Blake there is a conflating of images which suddenly introduces a second level, giving it qualities beyond those possessed in the beginning. The error in methodology is that the critic has not considered the textual passage as a whole but has considered one of its parts in isolation.

After stating that the Yale drawing could only illustrate those italicized lines quoted above, the reviewer goes on to say that this figure could not be Albion in any case since Albion is old with a beard, and that if this were for Jerusalem, the character would have to be the youthful Los.

neight attention of the service of t

The critic must have forgotten that Blake's very design for plate 95 of Jerusalem illustrating the lines quoted above shows the youthful Albion rising out of the earthbound body of the ancient bearded Albion. Albion is at once the earth, England, and universal father. Once again, this is an instance of the conflation of images which is so essential to an understanding of Blake. As a newly awakened, aggressive being, Albion is in fact young, beardless and identified with the sun.

After making these inconclusive points, the critic refutes her own argument by stating that, in any case, the Yale drawing is not right in style for Jerusalem (c. 1815-18) but rather dates from the mid-1790's and is probably a preparatory drawing for Young's Night Thoughts. The size of the Yale drawing (9 7/8 x 12 5/16 in.) is used as support for this view. The critic concludes that this sheet corresponds to the II 1/2 in. wide illustrations for Young's Night Thoughts and would fit the top of one of its pages nicely.

Oddly enough, it escaped her notice that there are no top of the page illustrations and no one-half page designs at all among Blake's engravings for Young's Night Thoughts. The text is placed off center so that very wide margins on the outside and lower edges remain for figural scenes. Approximately 1/4 page is available at the bottom for an illustration and none at all at the top of a page. Moreover, no page of Night Thoughts is organized with a central focus as is this design. All the Night Thoughts illustrations are eccentric since they are designed for the outside and lower margins. Once again, the critic has made a major error in methodology by isolating one element rather than relating multiple controlling elements.

With this meager support the reviewer finally adduces that the style of the Yale drawing is more appropriate for the illustrations to Young and that it could not possibly be as late as the Jerusalem illustrations. I have already pointed out that the Yale drawing is centrally and bilaterally organized in contrast with all of the illustrations to Young. On the other hand, numerous of the Jerusalem illustrations are centrally organized. In addition, the use of flames in rhythms across the surface of the page like those in the Yale drawing is a leitmotif of the Jerusalem illustrations, and these icendiary arabesques allow the design to interweave with the flowing lines of the text. These flames were heightened with gold applied with a brush and contrasted with deep areas of shadow like that indicated on the left of the sheet at Yale. By contrast, the illustrations to Young are on a completely different spatial plane from the block of text and the text cuts across the illustration at right angles, leaving the figures in space behind. Later Blake unified illustration and text in a fluid linear pattern on the same surface plane, that of the page itself. escent litte moidla al ti nue ent elli poleiv ovisse son as

The linear surface rhythms of the Yale drawing are fused more completely with the movement of the figure than anything by Blake in the 1790's. The surface design of the Jerusalem illustrations in which text and figure are united in a sensitive extension of each other are the bridge to Blake's late style embodied in the Job illustrations. In these late works, the use of illusionistic space with traditional perspective devices is almost completely discarded for a richly interwoven surface pattern in which text and figure are fused. Finally, there is a tendency in Blake's drawings of the 1790's to retain the coherence of the silhouette of the figure in a way which is much closer to Flaxman's figural style. By contrast, the Yale drawing shows a fusion of figural movement and surrounding abaresques which is like that of the Jerusalem illustrations and Blake's late style.

Let me simply conclude by saying that if new evidence is brought forth to show that the Yale drawing does not illustrate the passage in Blake's text that I have quoted, I shall be more than interested and pleased to accept it. However, the reviewer under discussion has not given such evidence as would set it aside at this time.

After making these incedeldsite #oldist !! Britic refutes her own ergument by stating that, in any case, the Yale drawing is not right in style for

Note: With reference to the article mentioned in Mr. Butlin's note (p. 39), "William Blake's Mr. Thomas" by Leslie Parris has now been published in the Times Literary Supplement for 5 Dec. 1968, p. 1390.

Oddiy-enough, it escapes her softcesthat shees are no top at the page II-