BLAKE



Improving the Text of The Complete Poetry & Prose of William Blake

David V. Erdman

Blake/An Illustrated Quarterly, Volume 20, Issue 2, Fall 1986, pp. 49-52



William Muir

Raymond Lister

Robert Essick's review of the Manchester Etching Workshop's Blake facsimile, in which he says that "little is known about Muir and why he labored so long and hard on Blake facsimiles," reminds me that in 1961, when I was running the Golden Head Press at Cambridge, and was experimenting, among other things, with hand-colored books, I planned to write and publish a small book on Muir. Unfortunately I was, owing to other commitments, unable to do this, but the plan did produce some comments in a letter from the late Kerrison Preston which, in view of the small amount known about Muir, ought to be placed on record.

The Georgian House Rockshaw Road Merstham, Surrey 22 August, 1961

Dear Mr. Lister,

Many thanks for your letter to-day. I congratulate you on getting Muir's "Visions," which is well worth having. If it is the same as Lot 467 at Sotheby's on the 1st August, I think Quaritch paid £16 for it, so they are not making an unfair profit. The Lot included a loosely inserted letter from Muir to the Editor of *The Academy*, which would be interesting.^[1]

I had many letters myself from Muir, some of which I still have. (2) When I knew him he was a little, wizened old man, uneducated (like Blake) and very quiet and calm in spite of his great enthusiasms. He lived with his little old wife in East London and I think he had a Chemist's shop there. I happened to mention this to Geoffrey (3) the other day but he did not seem to know of any shop.

I never went to Muir's house or shop, but he used to come to see me at Bournemouth. He talked incessantly about Blake who was his great hero but in spite of this he always struck me as having more of a scientific than artistic cast of mind. He made these remarkable facsimiles with the aid of his wife and other helpers, using any mechanical means available as well as his artistic skill.

I knew nothing of Chemistry or the scientific side in which he was so interested, but he often used to go on from Bournemouth to Kimmeridge Bay in Dorset where he had a great scheme for extracting oil from shale, which he thought might become enormously valuable and lucrative. But he was by no means a moneygrubber and his ideas were mostly unworldly, like Blake's. He lived in a very modest way and was utterly unpretentious.

There—that is about all I know about Muir. I have very friendly and admiring recollections of him, but I am afraid they do not amount to enough to help you with constructing a Biography. I should be greatly interested to hear what contributions there might be from other people to a composite portrait of him. He must have had a number of Blake friends through his Agent, Quaritch, and others, but his facsimiles were, of course, laborious and therefore limited in number. Their artistic success, especially in colour, depends largely on which of the Blake originals he happened to get hold of. He would not have a wide choice in that. I think the "America" is the best, with its brilliant colouring.

I hope you will have a go at making at least a sketch of his

life. It might, as you say, make a "pretty little booklet," if not more.

I will remember (probably) not to pester you in September. I hope you will have the thoroughly good holiday you deserve, despite the Welsh language.

Kindest regards, Yours sincerely, Kerrison Preston

¹ Apparently my copy of the Visions of the Daughters of Albion was not this same lot; there was no letter in it from Muir when I acquired it.

² I do not know the present whereabouts of these, but it is probable that they are in the Westminster Public Library with Preston's Blake collection.

Sir Geoffrey Keynes.

Improving the Text of The Complete Poetry & Prose of William Blake

David V. Erdman

Despite the extended cooperative effort of several Blake scholars to make it a faithful and accurate as well as complete edition, the Doubleday and California text of 1982 retained a sprinkling of misprints and even a few mistranscriptions. By the summer of 1983, *Blake* 17 (1983), 14, could report about a score of mostly simple errata and note the problems of some of Blake's Hebrew lettering.

By the autumn of 1984 a sizable list of errata was sent to Doubleday and to California. And now that the Doubleday (paperback) is in a second printing, it is comforting to find that proper corrections have been made of that first score and another two score errata. When the California hardback goes into its next printing, we can expect these to be attended to there.

It is a curious thing, however, that one mistake, which the California printers corrected before their first printing, has been left alone by the Doubleday printers. Illustration 2, *The Laocoön*, facing page 272, as first printed gives a negative instead of a positive impression: the text reads white on black instead of black on white as Blake wanted it. Let's hope that the *third* Anchor printing will get the joke.

In the list that follows, it seems best to include the earlier as well as the later errors discovered—so that possessors of the first printing (hard or soft) will not need to buy a new edition to make the noted improvements. Corrections already made, in the Doubleday second printing, will be marked with asterisks. Most of the mistakes were in text; some were in headings and apparatus.

ERRATA EMENDATA

- p. xvii Line 28: Centerbury should read canterbury
 *p.xx Preceding "TEXTUAL NOTES . . . ERDMAN": insert RECENT CONJECTURAL
 ATTRIBUTIONS 785
- *p. 3 Top: reverse the paragraphs headed "Conclusion" and "Application." As John Grant points out, it would be a further nicety if the closing "line" were to be printed thus:

Therefore God becomes as we are. that we may be as he is

- p. 71 Margin: [line number] 50 should read 40
 Line 26: abdominable should read abominable [the one erratum spotted by the Santa Cruz collective]
- p. 85 Running head: THE BOOK OF URIZEN should read THE BOOK OF AHANIA
- p. 103 Lines 42-44 have broken letters
- *p. 121 Line 63: flutes should read flutes[']
- p. 131 Margin, bottom: The Hebrew letter at the top of Blake's marginal note is Kaph but should be Khaph.
- p. 145 After line 3 of paragraph 2: insert ' in the margin
- *p. 148 Line 60: Jersaulam should read Jerusalem
- *p. 167 Line 25: Jerusalsm should read Jerusalem
- *p. 271 Running head: ADEL should read ABEL
- p. 273 [Jah, for Jehovah] should read [Jehovah] [The Hebrew letters are Heh and Yod, not Jah.]
- p. 371 Beside "End of The Seventh Night": delete '
- *p. 387 Line 11: Roaming should read Roaring * Line 15: asterial should read [asterial]
- *p. 390 Line 40: self destroying should read self-de-
- * Line 45: deceit should read deciet
- * Line 24; self cursd should read self-cursd *p. 391 Line 40; guard should read Guard
- *p. 391 Line 40: guard should read Guard

 * Line 3: awake from deaths should read awake
 to life from deaths
- Line 21: said should read Said
- *p. 392 Line 34: autumn should read Autumn
 - Line 1: slaves should read Slaves
- * Line 19: While should read while
- *p. 393 Line 31: your should read Your
- *p. 395 Line 39: thro the Mercy should read thro Mercy * Line 12: oblivion should read Oblivion

- *p. 397 Line 19: branching should read branchy
- *p. 398 Line 11: o my flocks should read O my flocks
- *p. 399 Line 14 (of p. 131): Tharmas, O should read Tharmas O
- *p. 400 Line 35: depart the clouds should read depart. the clouds
- *p. 401 Line 32: What should read what
 - Line 38: dead should read Dead
- *p. 402 Line 32: inconceivable should read inconcievable
- *p. 404 Line 16: sons & daughters should read Sons & Daughters
- *p. 405 Line 10: by sons should read by the sons
- p. 482 The Pickering Manuscript (Morgan Library):
 In my textual note on "Auguries of Innocence" (p. 860), I indicated that the Pickering ms was hastily written; one more look at the ms makes me realize that it is not only the mending of letters that causes trouble, but the difficulty of making sure whether a capital or lower case was intended. Most of these make little significant difference, but I'd now like to report the following somewhat—i.e., more or less—conjectural readings of letters.
 - Line 5: disdain should read Disdain
- p. 483 Line 21: day should read Day
- p. 484 Line 8: sow should read Sow Line 28: seventy should read Seventy
- p. 485 Line 52: Beggar should read Begger Line 57: allay should read Allay
- p. 486 Line 15: waters should read Waters
- p. 487 Line 25: dove should read Dove Line 32: Envy be free was mended from Envy
- p. 489 ["Auguries of Innocence"] ["The Crystal Cabinet"] Line 27: air should read Air
- p. 490 Line 6: dove should read Dove doves should read Doves
 - Line 23: strife should read Strife
- p. 491 Line 52: on was mended from in Line 53: truth should read Truth Line 74: death should read Death
- p. 492 Line 99: deform should read Deform Line 109: doubt should read Doubt Line 111: do should read Do
- p. 496 Title: John Brown & Mary Bell became expanded to Long John Brown & Pretty Mary Bell, and then Pretty was changed to Little Line 1: Pretty became Little
 - Line 2; Young John became Long John

- Line 3: Young . . . Pretty became Long . . . Little Lines 6–8: each devil should read Devil Line 15: glory should read Glory
- p. 497 Line 21: O William first read simply William Line 45: shine should read Shine
- p. 498 Line 52: there should read There
- *p. 501 Line 27: so feeling should read in pity

 * Line 30: Spell removed unwound should read
 ninefold Spell unwound
- p. 517 After "The Washer Womans Song": *insert* this new poem (including signature at bottom):

THE PHOENIX TO MRS BUTTS

I saw a Bird rise from the East As a Bird rises from its Nest With sweetest Songs I ever heard It sang I am Mrs Butts's Bird And then I saw a Fairy gay That with this beauteous Bird would play From a golden cloud she came She calld the sweet Brid by its name She call'd it Phoenix! Heavens Dove! She call'd it all the names of Love 10 But the Bird flew fast away Where little Children sport & play And they strok'd it with their hands All their cooe's it understands The Fairy to my bosom flew Weeping tears of morning dew I said: Thou foolish whimpring thing Is not that thy Fairy Ring Where those Children sport & play In Fairy fancies light & gay 20 Seem a Child & be a Child And the Phoenix is beguild But if thou seem'st a Fairy thing Then it flies on glancing wing

WILLIAM BLAKE

- p. 563 Line 15 (from bottom): Visions also should read Vision is also
- *p. 687 Line 6: Incriptions should read Inscriptions
- p. 687 Line 9: after It is Spiritually Discerned] insert the deleted words: [Prayer to God is the Study of Imaginative Art]^t
- p. 688 After Line 12: insert XXI [Below "In burnt Offerings for Sin thou hast had no Pleasure" Blake first wrote [Praise to God is the Exercise of Imaginative Art.]
- *p. 689 Near bottom: move "On design No 38 . . . Venus" down the page to follow "On verso of No 36 . . .?window"
- p. 727 Line 8 (from bottom): delete colon following
 Hebrew [Blake's phrase means "my Hebrew alphabet," but he gives the actual
 aleph-beth-gimel. N.b.: The Hebrew letters are correct, and in the correct order.]

- *p. 729 After line 7 (from bottom): *insert* Maries at the Sepulcher. 4 The Death of Joseph. 5 The Death of the Virgin
- p. 763 Two sentences of the letter are repeated: delete lines 8–10 and the first word of line 11.
- p. 785 Under "Recent Conjectural Attributions": delete last three lines; insert A more evidently genuine "piece of Blakean doggerel written in pale blue water colour with a brush" and discovered by Geoffrey Keynes is "The Phoenix to Mrs Butts," a manuscript now in the family of a great grandson of Mrs. Butts and signed by an authenticated Blake signature. We include it above (p 517).
- *p. 789 After "imperfection." (bottom): *insert* That the "Conclusion" belongs *before* the "Application" has been pointed out by John Grant. (One cannot apply a conclusion until it has been reached.)
- *p. 791 ["The Blossom" note] should read 6 my] falsely reported as "thy" in posthumous copies, but see M.E. Reisner in Blake Newsletter 40: 130.
 ["The Chimney Sweeper" note]: insert 20
 - He'd] But inked tracing in copy AA (destroying the sense).
- *p. 808 Note on Hebrew for Milton 32:15: This entry makes no sense, since the "wrong" reading has been silently corrected by the printer to the "right" reading. Blake wrote a Kaph (3) where he should have written a Khaph (3). So we must change the first Hebrew word in this line, having it begin (at the right end) with a Kaph (3). Leslie Brisman, however, argues that Blake may have intended an etymological pun; so the letters as Blake place them may be allowed to stand (with the Kaph at the beginning but above the line).
- *p. 814 After 1:19:insert 1:28 serpents] serpents [?all]

 1st rdg
- p. 843 Left margin, bottom, above "Night the Ninth":

 insert PAGE 117

 Left margin, bottom: [First line under PAGE 118] should read 15 asterial 2nd rdg del]

 eternal 1st rdg del]
- *p. 845 Near top: PAGE 136 should read 135 and PAGE 135 should read 136
- *p. 863 Line 12 (from bottom): [30 . . . line] should read 30 ninefold] inserted above the line Line 2 (from bottom): [11 . . . man] should read 11 After "said" Blake first wrote "Beli", a start for "Believe"; my previous

reading "Rich" has been corrected by G. E.

Bentley, Jr.

p. 874 Top: below "The Washer Womans Song" insert
The Phoenix to Mrs Butts, first published
in TLS, September 14, 1984, pp 1021–
22.

*p. 882 Line 17: (1981) should read 60 (1981) 69-86. p. 891 Under [Inscriptions in . . . Job, 1825] add a new paragraph after Insignificant variants . . . pp 55-66.: The canceled sentences on plates I and XXI were first discovered by Robert N. Essick, as reported in Blake 19 (1985-86) 96-102, on early versions of Blake's plates. These recovered declarations somewhat conflict with modern critical interpretation which assumes a sharp contrast between Job's beginning and his latter end. Before and after Job's trials, he and his family were concentrating on the Right Thing.

p. 974 Line 10: 494, '782 should read 503, '864

*p. 981 Line 5: 6850 should read '850

*p. 982 After line 13 (from bottom): insert Nightingale, To the 785

p. 983 After line 7 (from bottom): insert The Phoenix to Mrs Butts 517

*p. 983 Bottom line: '864 should read '846

*p. 985 Line 20: 622 should read 662

Line 30: borth should read birth

*p. 986 Line 7: Cert should read Art

After line 14 (from bottom): insert "The Use of Money & its Wars" 687

p. 987 After line 16: insert "Till thou dost injure

*p. 988 After line 7: insert "To the Nightingale" 785

Has anyone found other errata? If so, please let me know, to make corrections in the *next* printings.



DISCUSSION

with intellectual spears & long winged arrows of thought

A Reply to Martin Butlin Raymond Lister

I refer to the note by Martin Butlin printed in the Spring 1986 issue of *Blakel An Illustrated Quarterly*. I regret if in my review I unintentionally misrepresented what Mr. Butlin said about the Keating forgeries; I of course accept his version. But so far as I know he did not at the time nor at any subsequent time publicly deny the *Times* report (his alleged comments were printed in *The Times* on 16 July 1976; Keating's admission appeared there on 20 August 1976).

As a principal prosecution witness at the Keating trial I saw most of the newspaper and other reports, but I do not recall seeing a denial, so my assumption that the report was correct was natural. If, however, a denial was published, it would be helpful if Mr. Butlin would state when and where it appeared. I suggest this in no hectoring spirit, but simply, if the record is wrong, to

get it right.

My main point is not invalidated: that some members of the British art establishment, both trade and curatorial, were badly taken in by the forgeries (perhaps it would be more accurate to say by the forged provenance). I do not agree with Mr. Butlin that I have accorded the affair more attention than it deserves. Under all circumstances what I wrote was mild enough; it is a good thing to be reminded that such things are possible, even among the well informed. Surely, too, it is proper that reference to the Keating affair should be made in a scholarly journal, the main subject of which is so closely related to Samuel Palmer.

Mr. Butlin is kind enough to refer to what he calls "the reviewer's cleverness." I make no claim to have been clever; little perception was required, if one looked at the forgeries properly, to see them for what they were.

As for being an "enthusiastic amateur," I can only say that I agree. There is nothing wrong with having enthusiasm for one's subject; and as an amateur I am in excellent company.